DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 435 789 CE 078 942

AUTHOR	Mills, Jack; Kazis, Richard
TITLE	Business Participation in Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from the United States.
INSTITUTION	Jobs for the Future, Boston, MA.
SPONS AGENCY	Rockefeller Foundation, New York, NY.
PUB DATE	1999-01-00
NOTE	68p.; Prepared for the Business Forum on Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from America (London, England, Great Britain January 20-21, 1999). Also sponsored by the New Deal Task Force. Eleven of the 19 case studies are appended.
PUB TYPE	Reports - General (140)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	Adults; Case Studies; Employee Attitudes; *Employer Attitudes; Employer Employee Relationship; Employment Opportunities; Employment Potential; *Employment Programs; *Entry Workers; *Job Placement; Job Training; Policy Formation; Public Policy; *Welfare Recipients; Welfare Services
IDENTIFIERS	*Welfare to Work Programs

ABSTRACT

Case studies of 19 U.S. companies involved in welfare-to-work programs found that political and economic factors have accelerated the rate at which employers are hiring welfare recipients. Although participation in welfare-to-work programs is dominated by larger firms in a few industries (such as service and retail sectors), there is potential for continued expansion of employer participation. The primary focus of employers to date has been on recruitment and hiring, but strategies are being developed to improve employee retention, an area in which employers are receiving support from public policy. Employers identify business benefits from their participation, including increased access to labor, increased loyalty among new hires, reduced recruitment and hiring costs, reduced employee turnover, better quality new hires, and improved employee morale. Challenges to employers who want to expand their efforts include problems with obtaining suitable services from providers of welfare recipients and the complexity of working with those providers. Components of successful programs include high-level corporate commitment, local partnerships, and postplacement services. Public policy recommendations include the following: (1) changing policies and funding to balance "work first" and longer-term skill development strategies; (2) increasing public investment in supportive services and expanding income supplements for low-wage workers; and (3) providing public support to improve local service-providing organizations that serve as intermediaries between the welfare system and employers. (Contains 27 references.) KC)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

ED 435 789

Business Participation in Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from the United States

Prepared for the Business Forum on Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from America 20-21 January 1999, London

Jobs for the Future
88 Broad Street
Boston, MA 02110

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
☑ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sc Dorros

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

January 1999

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Sponsored by the New Deal Task Force and the Rockefeller Foundation

CE 078 542

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was written by Jack Mills and Richard Kazis. The authors would like to thank the following Jobs for the Future staff for their assistance: Hilary Pennington and Marlene Seltzer for their comments on early drafts; Andrea Jurist Levy and Verna Lalbeharie for their research work; Marc Miller and Sybilla Green Dorros for their editing skills; and Barbara Kroner and Sarah Bennett-Astesano for design and production assistance.

A debt of gratitude is owed to the representatives of the companies interviewed for this project. They generously gave time from their busy schedules to share their firms' experiences and perspectives with us. We also thank the Welfare to Work Partnership and the National Alliance of Business for their assistance.

Funding for this study was provided by the Rockefeller Foundation. We thank Julia Lopez and Elizabeth Biemann of the Foundation staff, for their support and encouragement. We also thank the New Deal Task Force Secretariat for helpful comments and suggestions.

Jobs for the Future

Jobs for the Future (JFF), a national non-profit organization, works to strengthen the foundation for economic opportunity and civic health in America by advancing the skills and knowledge required for success in the new economy. JFF works locally and nationally to develop innovative workforce development solutions that help people make effective lifelong transitions between work and learning.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY v

FOREWORD 2

PART ONE
Introduction 3

PART TWO
General Trends in Employer Welfare-to-Work Efforts 7

PART THREE
Welfare-to-Work Experience of Leading U.S. Firms: Motivation and Benefits 10

PART FOUR
Welfare-to-Work Experience of LeadingU.S. Firms: High-Value Activities 14

PART FIVE
Lessons and Implications from U.S. Experience 20

FOOTNOTES 25

BIBLIOGRAPHY 28

CASE STUDIES
American Airlines, EDS, Federal Express, Manpower Inc., Marriott International, McDonald's, Pizza Hut, Salomon Smith Barney, United Airlines, United Parcel Service, and Xerox Business Services

Business Participation in Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from the United States

Prepared for the Business Forum on Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from America
20-21 January 1999

Business Participation in Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from the United States

Through the New Deal, the British government has embarked upon a major reform of the welfare state, with a focus on reducing dependency and increasing the employability of long-term unemployed individuals. As in the United States, which has undertaken similar initiatives during the last several years, British efforts make employment the primary goal, marking a shift from income support to a work-centered welfare system. This shift puts employers at the heart of reform.

On behalf of the New Deal Task Force, Jobs for the Future (JFF) has researched early lessons from the U.S. experience to stimulate planning and discussion among British employers and policymakers. This paper summarizes those lessons, with particular attention to the experience of a select group of large U.S. firms that have British subsidiaries and effective welfare-to-work programs.

These companies are: Allied Signal, American Airlines, Anheuser-Busch, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Chevron, EDS, Federal Express, Ford, General Motors, Hewlett-Packard, Manpower Inc., Marriott International, McDonald's, Monsanto, Pizza Hut, Salomon Smith Barney, United Airlines, United Parcel Service, and Xerox Business Services.

Because the United States and the United Kingdom have very different political and economic structures and traditions, as well as different welfare and workforce development systems, no attempt is made to transfer JFF's findings about the American experience into recommendations for Britain. Social policies from one country can never be adopted wholesale by another. However, we believe that the lessons from the past few years of welfare-to-work practice in the United States are instructive and relevant to the future development of the New Deal and other welfare-to-work initiatives.

Social and Economic Context

Political and economic factors have accelerated the rate at which U.S. employers are hiring people on welfare.

- *The "push" of federal legislation is increasing the number of welfare recipients seeking work:* The 1996 Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act transforms welfare into a work-based system by limiting welfare recipients to a lifetime maximum of five years of federally financed assistance. The Act requires most recipients to find employment or participate in activities leading to work. It also places a clear priority on immediate labor market attachment—known as a "work-first" approach—rather than longer-term education and training strategies.
- *The "pull" of a shortage of qualified entry-level employees is forcing employers to seek new sources of labor:* Tight labor markets combined with rising employer demand for basic and soft skills in entry-level positions have prompted employers to

look to new sources of potential employees, including the welfare population. Through most of the 1990s, the demand for qualified entry-level employees has increased more quickly than the supply. During the last five years, the number of companies reporting skill shortages has doubled. In addition, the literacy, numeracy, communications, and teamwork skills demanded by employers have increased. In this environment, many firms are experimenting with new ways to find qualified, entry-level staff, reduce turnover, and improve productivity.

Welfare has traditionally provided income to poor families with dependent children.

Consequently, adult welfare recipients are overwhelmingly female and between the ages of 20 and 45. The typical family that receives welfare is a single mother with two children. (Able-bodied adult men and women without children are not eligible for most welfare programs; those who have recently lost their job are eligible for short-term income support through the Unemployment Insurance system.) Welfare recipients are distributed fairly evenly across racial groups, and as many as a third have dependents under the age of three. They are unevenly distributed geographically: they are overwhelmingly concentrated in the nation's cities and, to a lesser extent, rural poverty areas.

As a group, welfare recipients face serious skill deficiencies.

At least 42 percent of welfare recipients lack a high school diploma. A sizable minority have physical and other disabilities that make work difficult. One-third have never held a job for longer than six months. According to one estimate, only 10 percent of the welfare population is skilled enough to advance beyond entry-level work. Other non-skill barriers to employment include inadequate transportation to where jobs are located, a lack of day-care resources, and inexperience in strategies for finding suitable employment.

As the welfare system becomes more work-centered, welfare and workforce policy are becoming more closely linked.

Recent reforms of both welfare and workforce development policy in the United States emphasize the priority of work. Welfare policy promotes work as a way to reduce dependency on public assistance. Workforce development policy has moved toward skill-development strategies that are more work-based and responsive to employers than in the past. New policy priorities include: an emphasis on serving employer needs, increased roles for business-oriented intermediary organizations and private-public partnerships, a one-stop service-delivery system, and an accountability system that includes performance measures on retention and advancement as well as hiring. These reforms constitute a major paradigm shift in the role of the Employment Service at the local level.

The success of a work-centered welfare policy is linked necessarily to the dynamics of the low-wage, low-skill labor market.

Many individual employers can change—and are changing—hiring and employment practices to better help welfare recipients find work. However, important questions remain about whether the U.S. economy has enough jobs for all welfare recipients; whether recipients are qualified for available jobs; and whether the jobs that are available to these individuals, in combination with government income support, make it possible for welfare recipients eventually to achieve family-supporting incomes.

These are challenges that individual employers alone cannot address. It is why many U.S. experts—and employers—see a necessary role for public policy not just in helping welfare recipients escape dependency but also in making it easier for the millions of low-wage workers who are not on welfare to achieve self-sustaining incomes through a combination of employment, education, job training, tax, and income-support policies.

General Trends in Employer Welfare-to-Work Efforts

Many employers are hiring welfare recipients.

Hiring of welfare recipients by U.S. firms has grown rapidly, more rapidly than many predicted even two years ago. The national Welfare to Work Partnership, an organization dedicated to helping companies set up welfare-to-work programs, has enlisted 7,500 firms. In 1997, Partnership members hired over 135,000 welfare recipients. Two recent surveys conclude that more than half of U.S. firms report they have hired from the welfare population. However, most welfare recipients get low-wage jobs. And unfortunately for both firms and welfare recipients, turnover rates in low-wage jobs are high, unless

employers design programs to promote retention.

Participation is dominated by larger firms in a few industries.

Larger firms in a small number of industry sectors are the major employers of welfare recipients, with firms of more than 100 employees estimated to employ 61 percent of working welfare recipients.

The service and retail sectors account for 60 percent of all employment but 80 percent of the jobs secured by welfare recipients. Business services, eating and drinking places, and health services alone account for 45 percent of employment for welfare recipients.

However, as Jobs for the Future's research and interviews indicate, firms in diverse—and frequently higher-paying—industries, such as high technology, transportation, health, and heavy manufacturing, have successful welfare-to-work policies. Efforts to diversify target industry sectors are beginning to bear fruit.

There is potential for continued expansion of employer participation, particularly among smaller businesses.

Further expansion of employer hiring from the welfare population is both likely and feasible, assuming continued economic growth. Even in a recession or a period of slower growth, firms will face ongoing challenges in finding and retaining qualified, entry-level workers.

While participation of small firms in welfare-to-work programs has been relatively weak, two-thirds of small firms that used local intermediary organizations to recruit candidates for employment reported hiring welfare recipients. Smaller firms that hire welfare recipients have a much higher ratio of welfare hires to total employees than do larger firms. Marketing to firms by employer associations, business networks, and other local intermediaries, an idea that is gaining momentum in many communities, may help increase small-business involvement in welfare-to-work efforts.

Most employers focus primarily on recruitment and hiring, but strategies to improve retention are becoming more common—and they are receiving support from public policy.

The primary emphasis of welfare-to-work efforts to date has been recruitment and hiring. However, employers are increasingly interested in ways to lower turnover and improve retention of entry-level employees.

Federal and state policies have also focused on moving recipients off welfare and into employment. Increasingly, though, policymakers recognize the need for additional funds to support strategies that help recipients stay employed and advance in careers. The newly enacted Workforce Investment Act authorizes resources for training current employees, with an emphasis on low-skill workers. Federal welfare-to-work legislation provides $3 billion for measures to improve retention and advancement of the hardest-to-employ welfare population. One target group for this assistance is non-custodial parents with significant barriers to market success. These resources can be used for pre-employment training and related services delivered by public agencies, local One Stop Centers, or other community-based organizations that are part of the local workforce development system.

The Welfare-to-Work Experience of Leading U.S. Firms

Jobs for the Future interviewed 19 leading U.S. companies about their welfare-to-work activities. (Profiles of 11 of these large firms, all of which have a presence in the United Kingdom, are included in the full report.) The interviews explored: corporate motivation for developing and sustaining welfare-to-work programs, the benefits firms derive, the kinds of activities in which the firms engage, and the role of local partnerships in simplifying and strengthening employer participation.

The primary motivation for employer participation is to meet business objectives.

Wage subsidies motivate firms to begin welfare-to-work efforts but are not by themselves sufficient to sustain involvement. Public funding of recruitment, training, and support services encourages sustained efforts by improving welfare recipients' job performance while reducing companies' financial exposure.That said, firms report two other motives for initiating welfare-to-work efforts: the desire to be good corporate citizens and peer pressure or influence from other companies they see as leaders in their industry or community.

Employers identify a number of important business benefits from their welfare-to-work involvement.

Three benefits are foremost: more effective access to an expanded labor pool, reduced employee turnover, and increased motivation and loyalty among new hires.

Firms report other benefits as well. These include: reduced recruitment and hiring costs; better-than-average quality and performance for new hires; and improved morale among a firm's incumbent workforce.

An additional benefit comes from public subsidies for hiring welfare recipients and for training and other services that improve job-readiness and productivity. Employers appreciate subsidies because they help reduce the risks and costs of employing welfare recipients.

Finally, employers enjoy "spill-over" benefits from innovations that benefit not just welfare recipients but all entry-level employees in a company. Examples include: more effective mentoring and orientation programs for all new hires and strategies for addressing transportation needs or other barriers to work that can extend beyond the welfare population.

Employers identify several challenges to expanding their efforts.
These include:

- How well local service providers can customize their activities to meet employer needs;
- The need for quality referrals and screening of potential hires, given the poor job-readiness of many welfare recipients and other low-skill workers;
- Perceived inflexibility of welfare and workforce development agencies;
- The time and resources commitment required to develop new programs; and
- Complexities of integrating services needed by many welfare recipients into a firm's existing human resource practices.

Partnerships play a critical role in successful business experience.

U.S. companies have found it particularly helpful to enlist local agencies who excel at providing services that are beyond the firm's core competencies. Every firm interviewed by Jobs for the Future stressed the importance of working in partnership with effective local organizations. Examples include: community-based organizations and temporary help firms that help companies recruit and assess potential new hires; regional bus lines or private firms that provide transportation to and from workplaces; community colleges that provide training and skill development; and a range of organizations that collaborate with employers to design and deliver work-based training and post-placement support.

From the company perspective, successful partnerships must meet clearly defined business objectives. Partners must provide excellent customer service, understand an employer's specific entry-level labor needs, and be committed to continuous improvement in meeting employer standards.

Large, engaged firms are involved in two kinds of welfare-to-work efforts:

1. Activities that increase their access to quality employees and help the firm retain and advance those employees; and
2. Collaborative inter-firm activities that share best practice, increase overall business involvement, and influence public policy.

1. Activities that increase access, retention, and advancement

Firm-specific efforts focus primarily on expanding access to sources of new employees, improving the quality of the job match. Effective strategies include:

- Better screening and matching of job seekers to job vacancies;
- Pre-employment preparation in basic skills, communication, and "soft skills" and short-term training targeted to the firm or industry; and
- Improved orientation to the job, training on the job, and support during socialization to the job.

Examples of firms interviewed by JFF that engage in these efforts: Allied Signal, American Airlines, Chevron, EDS, Federal Express, General Motors, Hewlett-Packard, Manpower, Marriott, McDonald's, Monsanto, Pizza Hut, Salomon Smith Barney, United Airlines, United Parcel Service, and Xerox Business Services.

Companies are increasingly concerned with strategies for increasing retention and lowering turnover. Activities include:

- Supervisor training on working with new hires;
- Mentoring and coaching by company staff;

- Post-placement support to address logistical and personal barriers to employment; and
- Provision of health and other benefits to entry-level workers.

Examples of firms interviewed by JFF that engage in these efforts: American Airlines, EDS, Federal Express, Marriott, McDonald's, Pizza Hut, Salomon Smith Barney, United Airlines, United Parcel Service, and Xerox Business Services.

Many companies are developing efforts to strengthen career-advancement opportunities for entry-level workers. Activities include:

- Helping entry-level employees to develop career plans;
- Encouraging employees to engage in additional training and education (within and outside the firm); and
- Joining consortia of firms in the same industry or occupational cluster to collaborate on training, job matching, and other efforts that can improve advancement opportunities within and outside a single firm.

Examples of firms interviewed by JFF that engage in these efforts: EDS, Federal Express, Manpower, Marriott, McDonald's, Pizza Hut, United Airlines, United Parcel Service, and Xerox Business Services.

While effective participation in welfare-to-work efforts requires staff, companies vary in how they organize and manage their activities. There is great variation in how firms organize the operational management of welfare-to-work efforts, depending upon the extent to which a firm's operations and management are centralized. Xerox Business Services decentralizes most decision-making in welfare-to-work efforts to local managers; EDS, on the other hand, has more centralized roles for planning, program design, goal-setting, and reporting. Regardless of organizational structure, corporate staff often play important roles in providing local offices with guidance, tools and materials, examples from other parts of the company, and other ways of simplifying local start-up and implementation.

2. Collaborative, inter-firm activities that promote business engagement

Large firms frequently contribute staff time and resources to help coordinate and advance business involvement in local welfare-to-work efforts through membership on local Workforce Investment Boards that set policy and priorities for federal workforce-related spending or through other local partnerships. Companies provide leadership in planning and governance. They are well-positioned to provide detailed labor market information, advocate for quality and continuous improvement in placement and training programs, and offer practical advice to local welfare and workforce agencies on simplifying the system and making participation more attractive to employers.

Employers also find it advantageous to collaborate with other firms in their region or their industry. Examples include United Parcel Service's Employee Share program and American Airlines' efforts to improve transportation for employees. Collaborations can be especially helpful to smaller firms with more limited staff and resources. They can be strengthened and formalized through technical assistance from public and quasi-public institutions at the state and local levels.

At the national level, membership in business-led organizations and learning networks facilitates joint activities around sharing best practices and representing employer interests in national policy debates. Business organizations, such as the Welfare to Work Partnership, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the National Alliance of Business, the Conference Board, and Business for Social Responsibility, provide interested and member companies with important opportunities for inter-firm collaboration, learning, and direct technical assistance.

Lessons and Implications from U.S. Experience

Although U.S. experience with a work-centered welfare system is modest and the long-term results unknown, the experience of participating firms and individuals has yielded important lessons for employers; their partners in designing and implementing programs to employ welfare recipients; and the policymakers who are reshaping the U.S. welfare system and its public institutions. These lessons fall into two categories: implementation advice to employers and lessons for the public system at the regional and national levels.

Implementation Lessons to Employers

- ***Successful initiatives require strategic planning***

and high-level corporate commitment, so that participation meets clear business objectives and the commitment to participate is communicated effectively throughout the company.

- ***Local partnerships can simplify and strengthen employer efforts to hire welfare recipients who can succeed.*** By working and contracting with local service deliverers for help on recruitment, screening, skill-development, and support services, firms can improve their job matches and, as a result, increase employee productivity.
- ***Productivity and employer satisfaction can be increased by greater emphasis on post-placement services for new hires.*** Employers are more likely to be satisfied with their involvement in welfare-to-work if they derive long-term productivity benefits, not just short-term public subsidies. Promising retention strategies include these post-placement services: mentoring and coaching; supervisor training; help overcoming logistical and personal barriers to long-term employment; and access to company benefits plans.
- ***Companies frequently find it advantageous to integrate efforts for welfare recipients into their overall human resource practices.*** It is frequently easier—and less controversial within a worksite—to provide all entry-level staff with the kinds of support services that can help welfare recipients succeed in the transition to employment. Whether they receive public assistance or not, most low-wage, low-skill employees can benefit from access to employee assistance programs, on-the-job training, and help with personal barriers to employment. Broad availability of such assistance can help reduce high turnover in entry-level positions.

Policy Lessons for the Public System

For welfare-to-work to expand significantly and become sustainable in more U.S. firms, large and small, changes in government policies and practice will be needed. We highlight five policy priorities that can help the United States achieve the public goal of meeting both employer and individual needs:

- ***Change policies and funding to better balance "work first" and effective longer-term skill development strategies,*** with a particular emphasis on:

  Pre-employment skill development programs customized in response to the needs of specific employers. Salomon Smith Barney's close collaboration with Wildcat Service Corporation is a good example of a program that is responsive to an employer while preparing welfare recipients for jobs that pay well and offer career-advancement opportunities.

  Skill advancement strategies while individuals are employed, including access to career planning; innovative partnerships with education and training providers; more on-the-job training opportunities for all entry-level employees; and support for additional training and credentials.
- ***Increase public investment in activities that support the decision to work.*** For example, provide additional resources to help pay for on-the-job support services; transportation, child-care; and substance-abuse services, and other activities that can make work more viable for welfare recipients.
- ***Create and expand income supplements for low-wage workers,*** such as the gradual expansion of the Earned Income Tax Credit, which supplements wages of the working poor, rewarding them for work and raising their effective earnings through the tax system.
- ***Remake the culture of the public-sector welfare system.*** Fund efforts to accelerate and support the transition of government from the role of simple administrator of income maintenance to that of a partner in promoting work, with responsibility for setting performance standards, developing accountability systems, and strengthening partnerships with the private sector and non-profit community organizations.
- ***Provide public support to build the capacity of local intermediary organizations.*** Successful local welfare-to-work efforts combine the strengths of the public, business, and non-profit sectors. Public funding should promote the engagement of employers and their partners in both governance structures and in a one-stop service-delivery system that provides a single point of contact for individuals and employers.

Business Participation in Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from the United States

Prepared for the Business Forum on Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from America 20-21 January 1999, London

FOREWORD

Through the New Deal, the British government has embarked upon a major reform of the welfare state, with a focus on reducing dependency and increasing the employability of long-term unemployed individuals. As in the United States, which has undertaken similar initiatives during the last several years, British efforts make employment the primary goal, marking a shift from income support to a work-centered welfare system. This shift puts employers at the heart of reform.

On behalf of the New Deal Task Force, Jobs for the Future (JFF) has researched early lessons from the U.S. welfare-to-work experience to stimulate planning and discussion among British employers and policymakers. This paper summarizes those lessons, with particular attention to the experience of a select group of large U.S. firms that have undertaken welfare-to-work activities and that also have a significant corporate presence in Britain.

Because the United States and the United Kingdom have very different political and economic structures and traditions, as well as different welfare and workforce development systems, no attempt is made to transfer JFF's findings about the U.S. experience into recommendations for the U.K. In fact, we recognize that no program or policy can simply be transported wholesale from one country to another. However, we believe that the lessons from the past few years of welfare-to-work practice in the United States are both instructive and relevant to the future development of the New Deal.

This paper is written with that goal: to characterize and summarize the experience of a select group of U.S. firms and their public, private, and non-profit partners as they implement new welfare-to-work efforts. Lessons we highlight for policy and practice are derived from the U.S. context. We leave it to our British colleagues to distill appropriate lessons for the U.K.

PART ONE

Introduction

What is Driving U.S. Welfare-to-Work Efforts?

The United States is in the early stages of an unprecedented social experiment: remaking welfare policy to emphasize employment. The vehicle is national legislation that limits the amount of time an individual can receive welfare benefits and sets work requirements for recipients. Enacted in 1996, this federal legislation accelerated a policy shift—from income support to a work-centered welfare system—that was already occurring in many states.

Employers are now central to welfare policy. This has come at a time when economic forces are also leading U.S. employers to embrace new strategies for finding qualified staff, including individuals from the welfare population. Economic and political realities are combining to push employers and welfare recipients toward one another, presenting both parties with new opportunities. At the same time, moving welfare recipients into work poses many challenges that are beyond the influence of individual employers, given the characteristics of this population, on the one hand, and available low-wage employment opportunities, on the other.

The Policy Context: New Legislation and System Reform

The 1996 Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act transformed welfare into a work-based system. The Act limits almost all welfare recipients to a lifetime maximum of five years of federally financed assistance, ending the previously assumed right of poor parents to federal welfare assistance.[1] The Act also requires most welfare recipients to find employment or participate in activities leading to work. It places a priority on job search and immediate entry into the labor market—this is known as a "work-first" approach—rather than longer-term education and training strategies.

Prior to the legislation, in the 1970s and 1980s, most publicly funded employment and training programs, including those targeted to welfare recipients, had focused on improving individuals' skills and abilities—a human-capital approach. However, unless these programs had close connections with employers, participants rarely secured better-paid work than those who did not participate, especially over the long run.[2]

Public support of welfare eroded during the 1980s. Calls mounted for increased personal responsibility and an end to income and other entitlements for the poor. Welfare policy continued to produce poor outcomes, and evidence mounted regarding the negative impact of long-term dependency. States responded by testing a range of work-based welfare strategies.

The 1996 federal legislation accelerated the shift to the work-first approach to welfare reform over a human-capital approach. Just as important, it gave the states responsibility for designing and administering public assistance. The federal government now provides states with block grants, with the amount based on each

state's allocation of federal welfare spending between 1992 and 1995. States have broad discretion in: allocating block-grant funds to different purposes, establishing the respective roles of state and local governments, determining the stringency of work requirements, and deciding the amount and form of supportive services available to recipients. As the federal government's role has diminished, considerable variation in strategy and emphasis has emerged among the 50 states.

As the U.S. welfare system becomes more work-centered, its connections to the public workforce-development system are becoming closer and more important. Meanwhile, that public system has significantly changed. In recent years, states have experimented with ways to improve the performance and accountability of a very decentralized employment and training system, which is delivered locally by public, private, and non-profit institutions that include: the Employment Service, community colleges, community-based organizations, employer intermediary organizations, and One Stop Centers created by local Workforce Investment Boards.

The 1998 Workforce Investment Act has reformed the public U.S. workforce-development system, based on the following principles:

- A more market-driven system of resource allocation and accountability;
- Greater emphasis on quality service and responsiveness to both employer needs and those of the labor force;
- An increased role for public/private partnerships and for intermediary organizations at the local level, organized by industry or occupational cluster, that serve as brokers among employers, job seekers, and service providers; and
- A priority on outcomes that include measures of retention and advancement, not just hiring.

Publicly funded at the federal level, the workforce system is governed at the local level by Workforce Investment Boards. The Chair and a majority of the members must be employers. The system is anchored in local labor markets by publicly funded One Stop Centers that can be managed by public, private, or non-profit entities.[3]

Economic Factors: Rising Skill Demands, Tight Labor Markets

While public policy pushes welfare recipients toward work, tight labor markets and rising demand for better-skilled, entry-level workers have forced employers to become more aggressive in their search for new employees. Emerging recruitment strategies have included welfare recipients in their outreach.

Through most of the 1990s, the demand for entry-level employees in the United States has increased faster than the supply.[4] Unemployment is at its lowest point since the early 1970s. Employers are reporting difficulty filling entry-level and more skilled positions. During the last five years, the number of companies reporting shortages of skilled workers has doubled, reaching almost seven out of every ten employers.[5]

Finding qualified, entry-level workers has emerged as a major problem. In focus groups in three U.S. cities, employers agreed that among new applicants for entry-level jobs, many appear to have "significant motivational, attitudinal, and life skill problems [and that] very basic screening dramatically limited the pool of eligible employees."[6]

American companies also face serious and growing difficulties with high turnover among entry-level employees. A survey of 500 representative U.S. employers found that 26 percent of entry-level employees stayed on the job for six months or less; 23 percent remained seven months to one year; only 15 percent stayed over two years.[7]

Employer concerns about the availability and cost of qualified staff are being fueled by long-term workplace trends. Firms increasingly expect those they employ to be able to learn new tasks and adapt to changing job requirements.[8] In many industries, more flexible production methods, rapid changes in production processes and technology, shorter product cycle times, and the search for custom and niche markets have raised employer expectations of front-line employee skills. In addition, changes in work organization and hiring and in promotion patterns have increased employer reliance on the external labor market for new hires, undercutting career ladders that once existed inside many firms.

Job requirements at the low-end of the labor market have risen. Employers more frequently expect basic numeracy and literacy, as well as basic computer

facility and "soft skills," such as communication, teamwork, problem solving, reliability, and a positive attitude.[9] In an era of tight labor markets, rising employer expectations of entry-level recruits create serious and costly mismatches between job seekers and employers. In this environment, firms have become far more concerned about the costs of inefficient recruitment and hiring, high turnover, and poor productivity.

In response to these challenges, many employers are experimenting with new ways to find and keep qualified entry-level staff. The openness to new approaches to recruiting, hiring, and retaining workers is noteworthy—and leads naturally to exploration of the potential of hiring new workers from the welfare rolls.

Welfare Reform and Low-Wage Labor Markets

Individual employers can change—and many are changing—their hiring and employment practices to help welfare recipients find jobs and succeed at work. However, a work-centered welfare policy is of necessity linked to the dynamics of the low-wage, low-skill labor market. It is also linked to questions about whether enough jobs exist for all welfare recipients, whether recipients are qualified to fill available jobs, and how public policy can improve the functioning of that labor market.

The welfare population: In the United States, public assistance has traditionally targeted poor families with dependent children. Consequently, adult welfare recipients are overwhelmingly female and between the ages of 20 and 45. The typical welfare family is a single mother with two children. (Able-bodied adult men and women without children are not eligible for most welfare programs; those who have recently lost their job are eligible for short-term income assistance through the Unemployment Insurance system.) Welfare recipients are distributed fairly evenly across racial groups. The total welfare caseload (adults and their children) in mid-1998 was 8.4 million individuals. This is a 42 percent drop from its peak in 1994 of 14.4 million.

The welfare population is disadvantaged when it comes to skills and work-readiness. The Urban Institute reports that 42 percent have not completed high school. One-third of welfare recipients have never held a job for over six months. According to some estimates, without additional education or training, only about 10 percent of the welfare population has the skills to advance beyond entry-level work.[10] A sizable minority has physical, psychological, and other disabilities that make work difficult. As many as a third have children under the age of three. Long-term recipients are particularly ill-equipped to enter the workforce. Although around 70 percent of welfare recipients report recent work experience, a study of long-term recipients in Los Angeles found that less than 25 percent reported working during the prior two years.[11]

While welfare recipients vary significantly in their ability to succeed at work, many are capable of moving from dependence to employment. Even before reform, over 40 percent of recipients typically left welfare in fewer than two years. Recent studies indicate that about half of the adults leaving welfare move directly into employment and others find jobs within a few months.[12] That said, as more welfare recipients move into employment, it is inevitable that those who remain will be less educated, less skilled, and plagued by other barriers to employment.

The low-wage labor market: If policy is to reduce welfare dependency through work, there will have to be enough jobs for this population—jobs that pay wages high enough for families to escape poverty. Urban Institute scholars estimate that the new welfare rules will push at least 140,000 recipients a year into the labor market between now and 2002.[13] (High-end projections are above 300,000 a year.)[14]

The Urban Institute and others have concluded that absorbing these new workers will be possible in a growing economy. However, barriers such as limited access to information about job openings, inconvenient shifts, poor transportation to work, and inadequate child care pose problems for matching welfare recipients with those jobs.

The jobs available to these new workers are unlikely to pay wages sufficient to pull families out of poverty. Data from 11 state welfare offices show that the hourly wage for most jobs that welfare recipients hold is between $5.50 and $7.00 per hour.[15] A study in four states found that the average quarterly earnings for welfare-to-work participants were less than full-time, minimum-wage employment and substantially below the poverty standard for the typical welfare family, due to part-time work and short tenure in each position held.[16] This and similar studies

reflect earnings during a booming economy, among recipients likely to have relatively better education and skills. Economist Gary Burtless has concluded:[17]

> When employer demand is high and unemployment is low the great majority of recipients who diligently seek work will eventually find it. But because of the nature of the jobs they find, and the poor preparation they bring to those jobs, unskilled single parents will usually find jobs that pay low wages and do not last long.

The earnings of those moving from welfare to work remain near poverty levels. This is a challenge that individual employers alone cannot address. It is why many U.S. policy experts—and employers—see a necessary role for public policy in two areas: (1) helping welfare recipients escape dependency through employment; and (2) making it easier for the millions of low-wage workers who are not on welfare to achieve self-sustaining incomes through a combination of employment, tax, and income-support policies. To reduce both dependency and poverty, policy must help local, entry-level, labor markets become more efficient, but it will also have to address the serious problem of low earnings for individuals who succeed in obtaining those jobs.

PART TWO

General Trends in Employer Welfare-to-Work Efforts

Based on the experience of U.S. firms during the first few years of large-scale welfare-to-work initiatives, we draw several general conclusions:

- Many employers are hiring welfare recipients.
- Participation is dominated by larger firms in a few industries.
- There is potential for continued expansion of employer participation, particularly among smaller businesses.
- Most employers are concerned primarily with recruitment and hiring, but strategies to improve retention are becoming more common, and they are receiving support from public policy.

Many employers are hiring welfare recipients.

Hiring of welfare recipients by U.S. firms has grown rapidly, more rapidly than many predicted even two years ago. By December 1997, after one year in operation, the national Welfare to Work Partnership had enlisted 3,200 companies, which reported hiring more than 135,000 welfare recipients that year.[18] A survey of these firms found that 79 percent expect to hire welfare recipients during 1999, for a total of 250,000 additional workers from the welfare population.[19] Membership in the Partnership continues to grow; in late 1998, it reached 7,500 firms, each of which had hired at least one welfare recipient.

Two recent surveys conclude that over half of U.S. firms report that they have hired from the welfare population. In a 1998 survey of 500 employers, selected to reflect the distribution of U.S. firms, 62 percent reported having hired welfare recipients.[20] In Michigan, over half of 900 employers in three metropolitan areas had hired someone who had been on welfare at some point, according to a 1997 survey.[21]

In addition, far more welfare recipients who get jobs are staying employed. In 1992, the percentage of welfare recipients who left welfare and were employed a year later was only 19 percent. In 1997, that figure had climbed to 32 percent.[22] Improving this rate for former welfare recipients and for other low-skill workers would reduce employer costs and improve productivity.

This does not mean that all these firms made special efforts to recruit welfare recipients. Each year, millions of jobs are filled by people with no more skills than those of many welfare recipients. Employers hire these individuals through routine recruitment practices, such as classified ads and employee networks. And many welfare recipients land positions through their own efforts, without an employer's participation in formal welfare-to-work programs.

Participation is dominated by larger firms in a few industries.

Larger firms in a few industries are the major employers of welfare recipients, according to a study of employment patterns in Florida, Maryland, Missouri, and

Oregon.[23] Mid-size and large firms (i.e., over 100 employees) employ 61 percent of working welfare recipients but constitute a far smaller proportion of all business establishments. As Table 1 indicates, large firms are far more likely to hire at least one welfare recipient, and the number of hires per firm, on average, rises with firm size. However, these data also demonstrate an important fact: while smaller firms have been less likely to hire welfare recipients, those that do so have a much higher ratio of welfare hires to total employees than do larger firms.

The service and retail sectors account for 60 percent of all employment but 80 percent of the jobs secured by welfare recipients.[24] Business services, eating and drinking places, and health services alone account for 45 percent of employment for welfare recipients.[25]

Efforts to diversify the industry sectors in which welfare recipients are employed appear to be effective. Firms reporting success in hiring welfare recipients can be found in manufacturing, construction, high technology, and transportation, in addition to the service and retail sectors.

In many larger firms that invest in strategies to promote retention and advancement of welfare hires, wages of those leaving welfare are higher than the average. For example, the large companies JFF interviewed reported paying their employees hired off welfare from a low of $6.25 per hour to a surprisingly high $11.75 an hour.

Table 1: Firm Size and Employment of Welfare Recipients[24]

Firm Size	Percent of Firms Employing at Least One Welfare Recipient	Hires per Firm	Employees per Welfare Recipient Hired
Large (500+)	78.6	12.4	187.2
Mid-size (100–499)	29.1	3.1	72.2
Small (20–99)	9.2	1.7	29.3
Very small (1–19)	1.2	1.2	7.2

Table 2: Employment of Welfare Recipients by Industry Sector[26]

Industry Sub-sector	Percent of Total Hires
Business Services	19.0
Eating and Drinking Places	14.7
Health Services	10.8
Food Stores	5.5
Social Services	4.9
Hotels/Lodging	4.6
General Merchandise Stores	3.6
Educational Services	3.4
Miscellaneous Retail	2.5
Real Estate	2.4
TOTAL	**71.4**

There is potential for continued expansion of employer participation, particularly among small businesses.

Further expansion of employer hiring from the welfare population is both likely and feasible. Marketing the benefits of recruitment and hiring from the welfare population has been uneven, particularly among small and mid-sized firms. A survey of Michigan employers showed that while over half had hired someone currently or previously on welfare, under 17 percent had been contacted by a public or private placement agency explicitly trying to place welfare recipients. Significantly, two-thirds of firms contacted by such agencies reported hiring a referral.[28]

If small businesses are to reach their potential to contribute to increased numbers of welfare recipients hired, new and different outreach methods will be needed that take advantage of the capacity and motivation of business associations to serve their members' workforce needs. Such marketing strategies are emerging, particularly from business groups, such as local Chambers of Commerce and industry-specific trade associations, many of whose members are eager to expand their sources of qualified, entry-level hires.[29]

This optimistic view is premised on continued economic growth. Of course, in a recession or even in a period of slower growth, some of the pressure for new hiring that many firms feel may weaken. For this reason, advocates of welfare-to-work efforts are striving to establish, expand, and institutionalize local initiatives now. They hope that if they succeed now, it will be easier—in a less-heated economic environment—to sustain strong partnerships and initiatives that seek to improve recruitment, matching, and productivity in entry-level work.

Most employers are concerned primarily with recruitment and hiring, but strategies to improve retention are becoming more common, and they are receiving support from public policy.

The primary emphasis of welfare-to-work programs to date, and of employer efforts, has been recruitment and hiring. However, employers are increasingly interested in ways to lower turnover and improve the retention of entry-level staff. Employers are introducing or expanding a range of policies that can influence how long welfare recipients—or *any* new entry-level employees—stay on the job. These include:

- Better screening, pre-employment training, and matching of job seekers to job vacancies;
- Improved orientation to the job, training on the job, and support during socialization to the job;
- Training for front-line supervisors on working with new hires;
- Mentoring, coaching, and other ongoing on-the-job support;
- Help with child-care, transportation, and other personal barriers to work; and
- Provision of health and other benefits to low-wage employees.

Employers increasingly see skill development (prior to hiring and on the job) as a means of reducing turnover and increasing employee loyalty, adaptability, and productivity. In recent years, U.S. firms have increased the percentage of employees they train. While better-educated employees still receive the lion's share of firm-provided training, U.S. companies are now training more front-line employees.[30]

Federal and state policies are beginning to encourage individual firms and local welfare-to-work partnerships to pursue strategies that focus on retention and advancement as well as hiring. Federal welfare-to-work legislation, enacted in 1997, provides communities with $3 billion in new resources for interventions that can improve the retention and advancement of hard-to-employ welfare recipients. Also, the 1998 Workforce Investment Act authorizes communities to invest more effectively in supporting training for current employees.

Welfare-to-Work Experience of Leading U.S. Firms: Motivation and Benefits

On behalf of the New Deal Task Force, Jobs for the Future interviewed representatives of 19 American firms regarding their involvement in welfare-to-work initiatives.[31] (Case studies of the welfare-to-work efforts of 11 of these firms accompany this report.)

These are not typical U.S. firms. Rather, they are multinational companies that have demonstrated a commitment to hiring welfare recipients and taking leadership positions in designing, promoting, and implementing welfare-to-work efforts. They include firms in a range of sectors: business services, transportation, retail, high technology, and financial services. In addition, while they are headquartered in the United States, each has a significant business presence in the United Kingdom.

In these interviews, we addressed five key issues:

- The firms' motivation for their efforts;
- The benefits that accrued;
- The kinds of high-value activities they undertook;
- How companies managed and implemented these activities; and
- Lessons from their experiences.

The first two issues are summarized in this section. The others are covered in sections four and five of this report.

Business Motivation to Participate

Among the firms interviewed by JFF, meeting business needs was the primary reason for participating in welfare-to-work initiatives.

> **Business reasons**: Entry-level labor needs spur many employers to seek expanded sources of qualified staff. Public subsidies for hiring, training, and/or support services can influence employer decisions to participate. Successful welfare-to-work programs have also reduced turnover markedly.

Companies reported two other motives for initiating welfare-to-work efforts, but they emphasized that business reasons would determine whether their efforts continued and grew:

> **Corporate citizenship**: Many firms, particularly those with a local customer base, are committed to improving the quality of life in the community and earning local goodwill. Recognition as a good corporate citizen is frequently an important motive.
>
> **Peer influence**: Firms are frequently influenced by others they see as competitors for leadership in an industry or community. Peer recruitment of corporate CEOs can play an important role in initial decisions to participate.

Employer Perceptions of Business Benefits

The companies we interviewed identified a number of business benefits that derived from their welfare-to-work involvement. Foremost are: access to an expanded labor pool, reduction in employee turnover, and increased employee loyalty. Employers also report reductions in hiring costs and better-than-average quality and performance levels among new recruits. Many firms report improved morale among existing employees in participating facilities, as well as greater attention to human resource issues that affect entry-level staff generally.

Access to an expanded labor pool: By partnering with community-based organizations with roots in particular neighborhoods or ethnic communities, companies have expanded the pool from which they recruit. This benefit is significant in tight labor markets and in firms or industries where workforce diversity is valued. Over half of the firms JFF interviewed stressed this benefit. "As a responsible corporate citizen, United Parcel Service's goal is to have a workforce that reflects the diversity of the communities we serve," says Ken Parks, Vice President of Corporate Human Resources. "We have a continuing need to fill UPS jobs with dedicated people, and our approach has always been to partner with education, government, and non-profit organizations to locate, employ, and retain them."

Many firms are partnering with temporary employment companies to both gain access to new labor pools and reduce hiring risks. As Manpower CEO Mitchell Fromstein notes, "Welfare-to-work makes good business sense because there are many jobs going unfilled and many candidates who want to work."

Satisfaction with quality of hires: Among companies with welfare-to-work programs interviewed by JFF, every one reported that it is satisfied or very satisfied with individuals employed through these programs. This is consistent with a survey of members of the Welfare to Work Partnership, which found that 76 percent of responding firms value the welfare recipients they employ as good, productive workers.[32] Almost all the firms we interviewed expect to expand their welfare-to-work efforts in the coming year. Two noted that a rise in unemployment might change their plans, but no firm we interviewed expects to reduce its commitment in the coming year.

Reduction in turnover: Effective recruitment, screening, and pre-employment preparation can help improve job matches and lower turnover. Salomon Smith Barney reports a 90 percent retention rate for welfare-to-work program participants it has hired over two years, compared to an average of 82 percent for all employees in equivalent positions. UPS reports a 30-day retention rate of 70 percent for welfare-to-work program participants, about 10 percent better than for all new entry-level employees. (One of the company's most successful sites reports an 88 percent retention rate for new hires.) Marriott reports a 65 percent retention rate after one year for welfare recipients, compared to 50 percent for entry-level employees in general. According to Gerald Greenwald, Chairman and CEO of United Airlines, after one year, "United employees hired from public assistance have one-half the attrition rate of similarly placed employees not from public assistance." Just over half of Welfare to Work Partnership firms report that welfare hires show the same or higher retention rates when compared to employees hired through standard procedures.[33]

Subsidies for training and hiring: Companies that hire welfare recipients are eligible for a range of state and federal tax credits and subsidies, including the federal Work Opportunity Tax Credit and the Welfare-to-Work Tax Credit.[34] These subsidies help offset employer investments and the risks they take of making poor job matches as they reach further down the employment queue for new hires.

For example, the federal government subsidized about two-thirds of the average $5,000 cost to the Marriott Corporation of its highly regarded Pathways to Independence training program. EDS has identified seven sources of federal and state resources that can offset training and support costs for welfare recipients. Federal job training funds have helped support the pre-employment training that has successfully prepared welfare recipients to work at Salomon Smith Barney (through Wildcat Services Corporation) and EDS (through the Advanced Technology Program). Among firms we interviewed that have programs in place, about three in four say they take advantage of public subsidies.

Spill-overs from welfare-to-work efforts to general human resource policies: Some companies report that developing welfare-to-work as a human resource priority strengthens the firm's commitment

to existing staff in lower-level jobs. Relationships with local organizations that recruit and screen welfare recipients help companies identify other qualified employees. Mentoring and orientation programs designed for welfare recipients can be useful for all new employees. Strategies for addressing child care or other barriers to work can be easily extended beyond the welfare population.

United Airlines created a 60-day mentoring program targeted to its new welfare employees. It was not long, though, before the program was extended to all entry-level staff. As a United manager explained, "Not only did we improve retention, but a survey of mentors found that they actually felt better about their jobs and the company." EDS has expanded an effort to identify government training grants and subsidies for its welfare-to-work efforts into a corporate initiative to identify and make use of all available sources of public funding for human resource development.

Employers that make family-friendly policies into a competitive advantage tend to integrate welfare recipients relatively easily into their organizations. American Airlines, for example, has contracted with the private firm, Ceridian, to provide resource and referral services for entry-level employees, not just welfare-to-work hires. Many firms that hire welfare recipients, such as AT&T and Eli Lilly, have also been recognized for their progressive work-life policies.

To encourage loyalty and retention, a number of firms extend the company's benefits package to all part-time staff. Xerox provides health insurance for all employees who work more than 20 hours a week, as well as flexible work schedules, child-care resources, and a dependent-care fund. This enables many welfare recipients, who frequently work part-time, to take advantage of company benefits. Of the firms interviewed by JFF, six are among those selected by *Working Mother* magazine as the 100 Best Companies for Mothers in 1998.[35]

Concerns and challenges: Employers we interviewed were overwhelmingly positive about their experiences (many attributed this to their focus on strategies to hire qualified employees and promote their retention.) At the same time, they voiced several important concerns. They acknowledged that:

- It is difficult to build collaborative relationships at the local level that are sufficiently responsive and customized to meet firm-specific needs. The employment and training system has historically served clients and their skill needs, rather than to seeing employers as an equally important customer.
- Some of the regulatory and bureaucratic routines of local public agencies introduce an inflexibility that complicates and impedes business involvement.
- Even in large firms, the time commitment required to design and implement new programs can be significant, and local managers may not be able to participate to the extent they would like.
- It takes careful consideration to find the most appropriate way to balance services to new hires from the welfare system with those provided to all entry-level employees. At times, different services seem necessary, but differential treatment can have repercussions with the existing workforce.
- Organizations with the capacity to provide effective recruitment, screening, training, and support services are crucial to successful welfare-to work programs. Without them, few firms believe that hiring welfare recipients would produce good outcomes.

(See next page for Table 3: Benefits to Firms and Employees of Improved Access, Retention, and Advancement Strategies.

Table 3: Benefits to Firms and Employees of Improved Access, Retention, and Advancement Strategies

RECRUITMENT AND HIRING	
Benefit to Firm	*Increases sources of qualified entry-level employees* *Reduces the cost and time required for entry-level hiring*
Benefit to Individual	*Increases information about available jobs, improves job matching, and increases access to hiring decision makers*
JOB RETENTION	
Benefit to Firm	*Increases employee loyalty, quality of work, and morale* *Reduces employee-replacement and lost-productivity costs*
Benefit to Individual	*Provides essential orientation to jobs, new-employee skill building, knowledge and support regarding navigating the workplace, and ways to address barriers to employment*
ADVANCEMENT	
Benefit to Firm	*Increases quality, quantity, and range of performance, ability to adapt, and the growth of employee skills to meet changing job requirements*
Benefit to Individual	*Provides the means to gain new, marketable skills, increases employability, and heightens opportunities for wage increases and promotions.*

PART FOUR

Welfare-to-Work Experience of Leading U.S. Firms: High-Value Activities

Among the employers we interviewed, we found a sophisticated understanding of the challenges companies face in designing effective programs—and local partnerships—for hiring and training welfare recipients. The employers we surveyed understand that successful welfare-to-work efforts require more than a simple exchange of public subsidies for targeted hiring by private-sector firms. We found impressive examples, particularly in the areas of improved pre-employment preparation and retention strategies, of corporate commitments to new approaches and a willingness to work closely with public-sector and community-based institutions.

In all these firms, activities are fairly new, localized in particular facilities and communities, and still evolving; none would claim that their efforts adequately or comprehensively address access to work, job retention, and career advancement. Taken together, however, these efforts illustrate some of the most promising strategies for employers who want to improve their entry-level labor force while helping to address a critical societal problem.

Large, engaged firms tend to be involved in two kinds of welfare-to-work efforts in the United States:

- Activities that increase their access to quality employees and help the firm retain and advance those employees; and
- Collaborative, inter-firm activities that share best practice, increase overall business involvement, and influence policy.

1. Activities that Increase Access, Retention, and Advancement

The companies we interviewed have developed innovative approaches to addressing their needs for quality, entry-level and better skilled workers. These include strategies to improve access, retention, and advancement. All of the most innovative—and effective—approaches involve collaborative partnerships with non-profit, public, and private organizations that supply welfare-to-work services to individuals and employers. Business-oriented intermediary organizations frequently serve as brokers for arranging these services.

Expanding Access to Employment

Companies are eager to find new sources of qualified employees—employees with good basic skills, motivation, and discipline. Increasingly, they are tapping expanded labor pools—from different neighborhoods, diverse countries of origin and ethnic/racial backgrounds. Targeted recruitment, outreach, and screening assistance from organizations that know and understand welfare and other low-skill, disadvantaged populations can be very valuable to employers. For employers to stay engaged, programs must also assure that identified job candidates are ready to work hard and be productive. For welfare recipients, who tend to be less-job ready than the population as a whole, this challenge cannot be minimized.

Several strategies for improving the quality of the job match stand out from our interviews, all of which are consistent with other research. These are:

- Recruitment and screening;
- Pre-employment preparation; and
- Short-term training targeted to the firm or industry.

Recruitment and screening: Many companies are turning to publicly sponsored community-based organizations for assistance in recruiting staff and screening them for overall job readiness and for their "fit" with particular labor needs. All the firms interviewed by JFF that had welfare-to-work programs developed partnerships with local organizations to improve job matching.

Monsanto has organized networking sessions so its human resources staff can get to know community-based sources of potential employees. Marriott contracts with public agencies and community-based organizations to recruit for its Pathways to Independence training program, and it restricts participation to referrals from those organizations. Chevron's service-station territory managers contract with local placement agencies to recruit and screen applicants. American Airlines partners extensively with community-based non-profit organizations rather than building in-house programs, because the company does not consider preparing welfare recipients for employment to be a core competency.

Temporary help firms and other for-profit placement companies can also play an important role in improved recruitment. Manpower has developed assessment tools that help it determine whether applicants are qualified for various office or light industrial positions. To facilitate its welfare-to-work efforts, Manpower has adopted a strategy of locating offices in inner cities to tap the labor pool there. Many firms routinely use staffing firms to help them recruit from new sources. Anheuser-Busch has formed a partnership with the staffing firm Interim for help with recruitment and screening of its entry-level workers. Hewlett-Packard's San Francisco office houses a dedicated Manpower staff member to recruit and screen for entry-level positions. Through this relationship Hewlett-Packard has met its initial goal of placing 30 welfare recipients in temporary positions and moving several into permanent jobs.

Employee screening can help firms take advantage of tax benefits available for hiring welfare recipie
One aspect of screening can be welfare recipients
eligibility for tax credits available to firms. Some
companies, including fast-food giants, Pizza Hut
McDonald's, contract with outside firms to proce
applications for these tax credits.

Pre-employment preparation: Improved screenir
often followed by pre-employment preparation t
increases the ability of welfare recipients or other
entry-level workers to meet firms' job qualificatio
Many welfare recipients need to improve both ba
skills and communications and "soft skills" if the
are to succeed at work. In the current environmer
which stresses "work first" and discourages long
term education and training, short "orientation t
work" courses are becoming more common.

One influential model is the three-week "boot cam
created by STRIVE, Inc., a non-profit organization
based in New York that works with hard-to-empl
young adults, including welfare recipients. Gradu
have demonstrated the discipline needed to keep
job; the program weeds out those who are not rea
Another model is Sprint's six-week, soft-skills trai
ing program, which is held at a community colleg
and followed by fourteen days of in-house trainin
Local social service agencies, education and traini
service providers, and community colleges provic
pre-employment training to FedEx job candidates
part of the firm's involvement with Tennessee's
Families First program. Xerox Business Services
values highly the pre-employment training in wo
attitudes, communication, and XBS expectations t
local organizations provide to prospective employ

Assistance with non-work barriers to employme
Companies believe it is essential to provide assis-
tance to welfare recipients in overcoming the ma
serious barriers to initial employment, such as la
of child care and transportation. Although the ov
whelming majority of companies we interviewed
assist welfare recipients with these needs, they te
to see these services as properly provided by pub
licly funded programs and agencies.

Pre-employment job-specific training: Compani
are particularly interested in working with educa
and training partners to design and deliver cus-
tomized pre-employment preparation that relates
directly to a firm's job openings. Some companie
that have worked closely with education and trai

ing institutions have found that jointly designed programs can successfully prepare potential employees for a specific workplace culture and work assignment. Four out of five firms with ongoing programs, interviewed by JFF, emphasized the value of the job-specific pre-employment training welfare recipients received.

Short-term training programs that introduce potential hires to job-specific skills related to a firm or industry are gaining favor. Salomon Smith Barney's (SSB) partnership with Wildcat Service Corporation, a community-based training provider in New York City, is one example. Wildcat and SSB designed the training together, and each runs components of it. The program includes 16 weeks of training and a 16-week internship at SSB. The firm hires those who complete the program successfully as permanent employees.

The publicly subsidized Advanced Technology Partnership run by EDS prepares welfare recipients to work as EDS system administrator associates, business analysts and help-desk staff. EDS has found that closely linking pre-employment training to the firm's needs yields new hires from the welfare rolls who are more settled in their work environment, more committed to their work, and quicker to grasp new concepts. An important element of training is exposure to real workplaces: potential hires learn by doing, and their preparation is grounded in the realities of the work they will perform.

Improving Job Retention

The companies we interviewed understand that if new hires are to remain employed beyond a few weeks, long enough to make a positive contribution to the enterprises, serious attention must be paid to supporting them through the series of transitions that returning to work requires. While this is generally true for hires in entry-level positions, where wages are low and hours are often inconvenient, it is particularly true for welfare recipients returning to the job market.

The companies we interviewed are experimenting with a range of strategies for increasing employee retention. These include:

- Improved orientation and mentoring by company staff;
- Supervisory training in interpersonal and managerial skills;
- Post-placement support to address personal barriers; and
- Access to company benefits.

Orientation and mentoring: To ease the transition to a new job, some employers are revising the orientation they give new hires to make it less perfunctory and more supportive. Among firms with programs in place and interviewed by JFF, more than half highlighted their welfare-to-work program's orientation and mentoring components. UPS has redesigned its orientation program. A number of firms have found that establishing a kind of "buddy system" that pairs new hires with supervisors or experienced workers who can serve as mentors can help new hires adjust more quickly and effectively. At Xerox Business Services, the "Friends at Work" program engages existing staff to help support new entry-level employees as they make the transition to work. Pizza Hut favors job coaching by outside partners to support new hires as they learn the job and interact with supervisors and co-workers, a model that is common among organizations that place individuals with disabilities. These strategies can be implemented at relatively low-cost, with internal staff and resources.

Supervisory training: Improved training for supervisors of entry-level staff is an efficient way to help new hires succeed. These employees are the interface between new hires and the company, yet few have any significant training in either interpersonal or managerial skills. Supervisory staff are particularly ill-prepared for the aspects of their job that approach "social work." However, few of the firms we interviewed provide supervisor training, a finding that is consistent with other research on employer activities.

Innovative private- and public-sector efforts to train supervisors exist. Ceridian Performance Partners, a for-profit unit of Ceridian Corporation, provides their supervisors with a two- to four-hour training course and ongoing telephone access to support from experienced managers. Ceridian's Partners in Progress program, which helps firms hire, train, and retain qualified welfare recipients, also provides training to supervisors.

At least 20 companies have received training for supervisors and entry-level employees from the Denver Workforce Initiative, a demonstration program designed to improve the access of low-income

young adults, and in particular men aged 18 to 35, to family-supporting employment. Its "Managing to Work It Out" training curriculum prepares supervisors to teach new hires to anticipate and solve certain workplace problems, in order to increase retention rates.[36]

Post-placement support: Companies looking to help new hires address personal barriers to employment, including psychological adjustment to employment and logistical issues such as child care and transportation, frequently partner with outside organizations to provide welfare recipients (or any new hire) with necessary long-term support. In general, companies we interviewed see the need for this kind of support, are eager to have outside agencies provide it, and would like to see public funds support it. Among the firms with ongoing programs which JFF interviewed, about two-thirds offered some form of post-placement support.

STRIVE case workers maintain regular contact with the individuals they place throughout the first year of employment, helping them to deal with conflicts with supervisors, family or personal problems that might lead them to quit. Salomon Smith Barney contracts with Wildcat for similar post-placement support. Marriott worked with a contractor to set up and manage an extensive Employee Assistance phone line service, which can respond to employees in 150 languages. This service has been adopted by other employers including Allied Signal, American Airlines, and some McDonald's. UPS developed an innovative transportation program to help welfare recipients in Camden, New Jersey, get to night-shift jobs at the company's Philadelphia facility, ten miles away—a program that the local public bus line now operates without a subsidy.

Access to company benefits: About one-third of the firms we interviewed, including UPS, United Airlines, and Xerox Business Services (XBS), provide part-time employees with access to full company benefits. This is a strategy to encourage loyalty and improve retention. UPS, XBS, and some other firms also see access to on-the-job skill training, particularly in new technologies, as a benefit that workers value, not simply an unavoidable cost.

Promoting Advancement

If retention is more challenging than hiring, providing advancement opportunities for welfare recipients is even more daunting. The public-sector employment and training system is only beginning to make available resources for training low-wage individuals who are working. Welfare recipients frequently lack technical and other job-specific skills required to advance from entry-level work to jobs that pay family-supporting wages. And many firms have moved away from internal career ladders that enabled lower-level employees to advance within the company.

Among the firms which JFF interviewed, there are notable examples of career-advancement efforts targeted to welfare recipients and other low-wage workers. The companies cite the value of skill upgrading to productivity and the effect that skills expansion and promotion opportunities have on retention and commitment. These businesses also recognize that minimum-wage jobs or part-time work at low wages will not lift families out of poverty and frequently weaken employee resolve to remain on the job.

Employer strategies for promoting career advancement include:

Help in assessing career options and planning next steps: For example, all welfare recipients and other entry-level account associates at XBS participate in a competency-based development system that includes self-assessment of the skills they need to move from their current assignments to future ones of their choice.

Access to education and training on the job or with employer subsidy: Additional skill development is typically critical to any employee's ability to advance into more complex job assignments. XBS makes available on-the-job training, computer-based training on-site, and tuition assistance for courses taken at traditional educational institutions. At UPS, extensive internal training programs are available, and pay is linked to skill development. UPS partners with a number of community colleges to deliver courses on-site at workplaces, and it reimburses successful completers for 50 percent of the tuition. Among firms with welfare-to-work programs interviewed by JFF, over half highlight the internal training opportunities

they provide; about a third assist welfare recipients with career advancement planning.

Employer efforts can only go so far in promoting advancement for individual staff members. Consortia of firms within the same industry sector or cluster of occupations can spread the cost and increase overall employer demand for skill development for front-line employees.[37] Similarly, community-based brokering organizations can help individuals identify career pathways to better opportunities within the same or a different industry or occupation sector. "Community career ladder" initiatives of this type are underway in a number of communities, although little public money is available for them.[38]

Organizing and Managing Firm Activities

Welfare-to-work programs must meet the needs of specific business units and local facilities if they are to survive and mature. At the corporate level, staff often play important roles in providing local offices and facilities with guidance, tools and materials, examples from other parts of the company, and other ways of simplifying local start-up and implementation.

In the companies we interviewed, the level of oversight from corporate headquarters varies with the extent to which the firm's operations and management are centralized. For example, XBS decentralizes decision-making to local managers, and this principle guides its company-wide welfare-to-work efforts. Because it is largely dependent upon franchisees, McDonald's creates standardized training curricula for interested franchises, but it cannot compel local franchises to participate in welfare-to-work efforts. EDS is about to centralize its welfare-to-work planning, goal-setting, and reporting in its newly created office of the Executive Vice President of Human Resources Worldwide.

The extent to which a corporate headquarters sets quantifiable participation goals and requires local offices to report on progress also varies. American Airlines has no specific goals or monitoring. EDS and Marriott require regular reports from managers. Pizza Hut and Marriott make participation by local establishments voluntary but structure their programs to provide strong business incentives to participate. At Pizza Hut, Work Opportunity Tax Credits go directly to the profit line on a store's income statements, so hiring welfare recipients affects the store manager's performance measures and year-end bonuses.

Corporate staff roles frequently include:

- Identifying the business objectives for welfare-to-work and priority strategies for achieving them;
- Developing program models or identifying successful local initiatives to disseminate to local offices;
- Disseminating directives regarding corporate participation goals, if they exist; and
- Collecting and disseminating learning from local experience for use in the design of future initiatives.

Local managers typically are responsible for deciding when and how to participate in local programs and partnerships, championing the effort within the facility, and ensuring the quality and value of the effort.

2. Collaborative, Inter-Firm Activities that Promote Business Engagement

In addition to activities that firms pursue to address their particular business or public-relations needs, many companies also engage in efforts to organize business's involvement and voice on issues of welfare policy and practice. The firms JFF interviewed are engaged in three types of inter-firm activities:

- Involvement in governance and program planning at the local level;
- Participation in networks of businesses in the same industry or region; and
- Membership in national organizations and networks.

Local Governance Structures and Program Planning

Employers across the country are playing an increasingly important role in the governance and planning of local, public, workforce investments. Recent passage of the Workforce Investment Act formalizes private-sector involvement: local Workforce Investment Boards, which bring together representatives of business, labor, and government to jointly govern the use of federal workforce development funds, must have a majority of members representing businesses and be chaired by a business representative. Among firms JFF interviewed that are involved in welfare-to-work efforts, over a third participate in local gov-

ernance boards.

Large firms frequently contribute staff time and resources to help coordinate and advance business involvement in welfare-to-work efforts. For instance, United Airlines has loaned an executive to run the Chicagoland Business Partnership. Employers are well-positioned to provide detailed labor market information, advocate for quality and continuous improvement in placement and training programs, and advise the public system on how to make services simpler and more attractive to employers.

Business Networks

Increasingly, firms are finding it advantageous to collaborate with other firms in their region or industry. Among firms JFF interviewed that have ongoing welfare-to-work programs, a majority participate in such collaborative activities. These collaborations can be very helpful to smaller firms whose staff and resources are limited. For example:

- Ford is collaborating with General Motors and other automobile industry firms in the Detroit area to establish widely recognized, entry-level, skill standards;
- FedEx is organizing a consortium to improve transportation to firms at the Dallas/Fort Worth Airport;
- UPS facilities coordinate with small, local firms so that part-time employees can more easily balance the demands of two part-time jobs;
- Ford Motor Company has made natural-gas-powered vehicles available to transport welfare recipients to jobs in the Detroit area;
- American Airlines and McDonald's have developed and disseminated curricula for preparing employees for an industry, not just a single company.

National Networks and Organizations

At the national level, membership in the Welfare to Work Partnership, a government-sponsored network, is one vehicle for sharing best practice and articulating employer concerns and interests in national policy debates. UPS has loaned a seasoned executive, who ran the firm's welfare-to-work program in Philadelphia, to the Partnership. Every firm with an ongoing welfare-to-work program that JFF interviewed is a member of the Partnership, as are three out of four companies we interviewed that are just starting programs.

Other national organizations, such as the National Alliance of Business, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the Conference Board, and Business for Social Responsibility, also provide important venues for sharing effective practice in welfare-to-work and other human resource policies. Companies pick up promising ideas and strategies through these organizations and the opportunities they provide for inter-firm collaboration and direct technical assistance. Some of this interaction is informal. For example, EDS and Xerox consulted with other multinational firms before beginning their welfare-to-work efforts. An employee-assistance hot line developed by Marriott has been adopted by other Partnership members. Government-funded agencies that are part of the reformed workforce development system also help facilitate inter-firm communication and learning.

PART FIVE

Lessons and Implications from U.S. Experience

Although U.S. experience with a work-centered welfare system is modest and the long-term results unknown, the early experience of participating companies and individuals has yielded practical lessons for employers. It has also provided lessons for their partners in designing and implementing local welfare-to-work programs and for the U.S. policymakers who are reshaping the welfare system and its public institutions.

These lessons fall into two categories:

- Implementation lessons for employers; and
- Lessons for the public system that can make a work-centered and employer-responsive welfare system more effective for employers and welfare recipients.

Implementation Lessons for Employers

There is no "cookie cutter" model for an effective welfare-to-work program—and no implementation manual to pull off the shelf. However, from our research and from the experience of firms we interviewed, important lessons emerge about program design and implementation.

Successful initiatives require strategic planning and high-level commitment.

Companies find that it is important to develop clear business objectives and evaluate available resources, priority needs, and the appropriate division of labor between in-house staff and outside organizations (see box on page 21 for a recommended planning process). CEOs and senior management must signal the effort's importance to corporate and local office staff.

Local partnerships can simplify and strengthen employer efforts to hire and retain welfare recipients.

Successful welfare-to-work efforts invariably involve collaborative partnerships. Employers partner with local welfare agencies charged with moving recipients into employment. They also partner through the public workforce development system with public- and private-sector organizations that can help them improve their performance in hiring, retaining, and increasing productivity from new hires off the welfare rolls. Firms want to "buy" expertise in provision of services that are not part of their core competencies. They also want to increase the efficiency and reduce the costs and risks of their efforts to improve hiring and job retention. Intermediary organizations that are well-grounded in labor market realities in particular industries and occupations or that have particular skills in brokering among employers, job seekers, and service providers are becoming increasingly important partners in local workforce service delivery.

From the company perspective, valuable partnerships are those that provide its staff with excellent customer service, understand employers' specific labor needs, and are committed to continuous improvement in meeting employer standards. They are based on mutual commitment to meet clearly defined business objectives.

U.S. firms have found it particularly helpful to enlist partners who specialize in services, such as:

- Temporary help firms and community-based organizations for recruitment and assessment of potential new hires;
- Regional bus lines or private firms for help with transportation to and from workplaces;
- Community colleges and private education and training providers for skill development; and
- A range of organizations that have the capacity to collaborate on designing and delivering effective work-based training programs and post-placement support services.

Companies can encourage and strengthen these partnerships through various means. Large firms can set performance standards for programs and offer assistance to partners in achieving them. Xerox Business Services, for example, sets standards for outcomes, evaluates the extent to which its partners meet them, and provides informal advice on improvements. UPS and Bristol-Meyers Squibb fund innovative service providers through their corporate philanthropic activities. McDonald's provides customer-service training for the staff of its partner organizations.

Productivity and employer satisfaction with welfare-to-work can be increased by greater emphasis on post-placement support services and activities that reduce turnover and increase job retention. Too often, employers appear to perceive welfare-to-work participation as a single transaction: hire a person from the welfare system and get a public subsidy. This view is likely to disappoint all concerned. While there is no doubt that companies welcome public subsidies, many employers regard this as a weak incentive. Focus groups with employers repeatedly conclude that companies would rather public funds be used to help prepare potential employees for on-the-job success than to subsidize employers for hiring ill-prepared staff who are likely to quit or be fired soon after hiring.[40]

Moreover, employers are most eager to hire qualified individuals who will stay with the firm for a reasonable length of time and be productive. For this reason, employers who are looking for long-term value rather than short-term wage savings pursue strategies that promote job retention. As noted above, primary among these strategies are: improved orientation and mentoring; supervisory training; supports to help employees address personal barriers; and bene-

Recommendations for Planning a Welfare-to-Work Program[39]

Based on the experience of its member firms, the Welfare to Work Partnership recommends the following planning process:

Find or assign a company champion: A CEO or other high-level decision-maker who cares can make a big difference in a company's level of commitment and its resolve to sustain or expand initial efforts.

Assess the company's internal situation: A firm must assess its staff and financial resources, labor needs, personnel practices, company culture, and level of commitment to decide whether to hire welfare recipients, how many to hire, and what services to make available.

Review recruiting and hiring options: A company must decide whether it will create a program in-house, contract with a local intermediary organization that brokers recruitment, training, and placement, or hire directly through the public sector.

Understand the welfare population: The welfare population is diverse, with different levels of skills, work experience, and readiness to meet employer standards. Employers need to communicate to potential employees the quality standards they expect from new hires.

Develop a strategic plan for hiring: Firms that develop successful programs identify their workforce needs clearly, communicate them to partners, identify support services for new hires that will increase their likelihood of retention, and develop close working relationships and communication with organizations that recruit, assess, place, train, and support welfare recipients who enter the labor force.

fits that can substitute for income, offer hope for advancement, and encourage workers to stay with the firm.

Integrate welfare-to-work efforts into a firm's overall workforce practices.

Many companies find that effective strategies to improve retention of welfare recipients are worth extending to entry-level workers generally. The non-welfare population that works at entry-level jobs, particularly adult men or working adult women not receiving public assistance, shares with the smaller welfare population many barriers to economic self-sufficiency: poor education and family, child-care, and transportation problems. Innovative services developed for, and successful with, welfare recipients can be expanded and can be made more cost effective at a larger scale. In addition, on a practical level, it is difficult to provide welfare-to-work participants with services and benefits not available to other employees in similar jobs.

Policy Lessons for the Public System

For welfare-to-work to expand significantly and become sustainable in more firms, large and small, changes in U.S. governmental policies and practice will be needed. Through our interviews and other research, we identified five policy priorities that can help promote continued progress toward a welfare and workforce development system that meets both employer and individual needs. These are:

Change policies and funding priorities to better balance "work first" with effective skill development.

The basis of current welfare policy is "work first"—job search and rapid labor market attachment above all else. Federal legislation and state implementation reward quick placement and make it far more difficult for welfare recipients to qualify for publicly funded pre-employment education and training. While "work first" has enabled many work-ready recipients to move more quickly into the labor force and has forced education and training providers to be more aware of and responsive to employer demands, there are indications that this strategy must be balanced and tempered.

From an employer's perspective, "work first" can be impractical and problematic. A large segment of the welfare population is not qualified for most entry-level jobs. Forcing ill-prepared recruits upon employers may alienate companies.

From the job seeker's perspective, constraints on access to education and training can cut off routes into better-paying jobs and career advancement. And being pressured to apply for jobs that are beyond a person's skill levels can further undercut self-esteem and motivation to work.

Public policy must continue its aggressive encouragement of work. However, as the National Governors' Association has concluded, "Getting a job, keeping it, and using it to develop new skills are important steps along the road to economic security . . . [but] for many welfare recipients, work will not provide a path out of poverty unless they can access education and skill training that will enable them to advance into better jobs."[41] In a society where post-secondary education and credentials are the primary route to decent wages, a more flexible, balanced approach to welfare policy is needed that combines job search, education, job training, and work in ways that help individuals build marketable skills quickly and move up to better jobs over time.[42]

Two important areas for greater public support are: 1) customized pre-employment skill development programs linked to offers of jobs upon successful completion (e.g., EDS's Advanced Technology Program and Salomon Smith Barney's relationship with Wildcat Services Corporation); and 2) skill development strategies for individuals while they are employed (including through courses and credentials granted in-house or at local educational institutions).

Increase public investment in activities that support work and career advancement.

A work-centered welfare system cannot succeed without broad-based participation and support of business. This approach places new responsibilities on employers to help solve serious social problems. Public policy must not demand too much of employers. Private sector responsibility should be coupled with public sector support that lessens the risks and costs that companies incur in playing a more active role in reducing welfare dependency.

In the United States today, significant public resource are available. One source is the federal government's allocation of $3 billion for new welfare-to-work programs that promote retention and advancement. These funds are helping pay for such

activities as pre-employment services, on-the-job training and supports, transportation and child-care services, substance abuse counseling, and other ways to "make work work" for particularly hard-to-employ welfare recipients.

Another source is the substantial savings states have realized as federal welfare block grants they receive have remained stable while their welfare caseloads, and payment obligations have shrunk. However, it appears that only a few states have chosen to expend these funds, which they control, on additional services and supports for welfare recipients and their families. Many states have chosen to hold them in reserve, spend more on politically popular programs unrelated to work or welfare, or return some of the money to taxpayers through tax cuts.

The temptation to reduce public welfare spending should be resisted. If anything, addressing serious barriers to employment will cost more money in the short-run, not less. Yet without adequately addressing the supports and services that can help prepare all but the most poorly equipped welfare recipients for work, the progress being made in moving people from dependence into employment will likely stall. Companies will collaborate with public authorities on welfare reform initiatives only if they feel they are having their needs met and are not being asked to take on unreasonable risks and costs. Companies will only hire and retain people who have motivation and basic skills. As the most-employable welfare recipients leave the rolls, leaving those who need more skills and more help, significant public investment will be needed to prepare this population for keeping and succeeding in private-sector jobs.

Create and expand income supplements for low-wage workers and their families.

Low-wage work does not lift families out of poverty. The U.S. government has recognized this through the gradual expansion of the Earned Income Tax Credit, which supplements wages of the working poor and thus rewards them for work by raising their effective earnings through the tax system. While use of this tax credit is expanding, the government has made little concerted outreach effort to employers or community-based organizations to help those who are eligible learn about and take advantage of the credit. If the public goal is to reduce poverty, not just public-assistance payments, expansion of the tax credit and other strategies to raise earnings through government policy will be needed. Such efforts are in keeping with an approach to welfare reform that focuses on working poor families in general, rather than solely on public assistance recipients.

Remake the culture of the public-sector welfare system.

Welfare reform has changed the role of government from simply an administrator of income maintenance to a partner in efforts to move people into work and keep them employed. The system is driven now by employment-related goals—and by public payments that are increasingly based upon meeting performance benchmarks for placement, retention, and wage rates. The welfare and workforce development systems are becoming more interdependent.

This shift will require significant change in state and local agencies and the way they do business. They will need help in building their capacity to serve employers, partner with multiple non-governmental agencies, and become more entrepreneurial. Public agencies also need help moving toward accountability systems based on accurate information about outcomes for individuals and families, which requires investment in the design and implementation of better management information systems. Private-sector involvement in governing the local welfare and public-employment system can help increase the capacity of public agencies. To accomplish this remaking of the U.S. welfare system, states and the federal government will need to provide adequate resources for professional development of public-sector employees, as well as resources for organizing greater private-sector involvement and assistance to the public system.

Provide public support to build the capacity of local intermediary organizations.

Successful local welfare-to-work efforts combine the strengths of the public, business and non-profit sectors. Public funding should promote the engagement of employers and their partners in both local governance structures and in a one-stop service-delivery system that provides a single point of contact for individuals and employers.

NOTES

[1]Not all welfare recipients are expected to be able to work. Twenty percent can be exempted for reasons of hardship. Under federal legislation, states must meet a requirement of 50 percent of recipients in jobs or work-related activities by 2002.

[2] Julie Strawn. 1998. *Beyond Job Search or Basic Education: Rethinking the Role of Skills in Welfare Reform.* Washington, DC: Center for Law and Social Policy, p. 20.

[3] One Stop Centers offer a core set of employment-related information and assessment services to any interested individuals. They organize referrals and access to training and skill-development services for individuals in need of more intensive services.

Decisions about entities that operate local One Stops are left to the discretion of local Workforce Investment Boards, which are required by the new law for all local labor markets. The boards, which must have majority representation of local businesses, oversee workforce development within the labor market, set policy, and establish performance standards and accountability measures. To insure maximum independence, the boards do not deliver services.

[4] Since 1992, labor demand has risen 16 percent, while labor supply has risen only 7 percent. National Alliance of Business. October 1998. "The U.S. Labor Market: Getting Inside the Numbers." *Workforce Economics*, Vol. 4, Issue 3. Washington, DC: The National Alliance of Business, p. 3.

[5] Speech by James Kelly, CEO, United Parcel Service of America, Inc. National Alliance of Business' 30th. Annual Conference: Knowledge Networks: Centerpiece of the 21st Century. October 1998.

[6] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden. 1998. *Welfare to Wages: Strategies to Assist the Private Sector to Employ Welfare Recipients.* Chevy Chase, MD: Brandon Roberts + Associates, p. 22.

[7] Marsha Regenstein, Jack A. Meyer, and Jennifer D. Hicks. 1998. *Job Prospects for Welfare Recipients: Employers Speak Out* (Occasional Paper Number 10). Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, p. 52.

[8] Richard Kazis. 1998. *New Labor Market Intermediaries: What's Driving Them? Where Are They Headed?* A Background Paper for the Task Force on Reconstructing America's Labor Market Institutions. Cambridge, MA: MIT Sloan School of Management, p. 8.

[9] Harry J. Holzer. 1996. *What Employers Want: Job Prospects for the Less-Educated.* New York: Russell Sage Foundation. Philip Moss and Chris Tilly. 1996. *Growing Demand for "Soft" Skills in Four Industries: Evidence from In-Depth Employer Interviews.* New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

[10] Judith Combes Taylor. 1997. *Learning at Work in a Work-Based Welfare System: Opportunities and Obstacles.* Boston, MA: Jobs for the Future, pp. 3, 15.

[11] Gary Burtless. Summer-Fall 1998. "Can the Labor Market Absorb Three Million Welfare Recipients?" *Focus.* Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin, p. 3.

[12] U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, private communication.

[13] Daniel McMurrer, Isabel Sawhill, and Robert Lerman. 1997. *Welfare Reform and Opportunity in the Low-Wage Labor Market.* Washington, DC: Urban Institute, p. 2.

[14] Kenneth Deavers and Anita Hattiangati. 1997. *Welfare to Work: Building a Better Path to Private Employment Opportunities.* Washington, DC: Employment Policies Foundation.

[15] National Governors' Association et al. July 1998. "Tracking Recipients after They Leave Welfare: Summaries of State Follow-up Studies."<www.nga.org/welfare/statefollowup.htm>

[16] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, p. 29.

[17] Gary Burtless, p. 6.

[18] The Welfare to Work Partnership is an organization of businesses formed at the request of President Clinton. Its mission is to motivate the American business community to hire and retain former welfare recipients without displacing existing workers.

[19] Keith H. Hammonds. June 1, 1998. "Welfare-to-Work: A Good Start." *Business Week*, p. 102.

[20] Marsha Regenstein, Jack A. Meyer, and Jennifer D. Hicks, pp. 2, 54.

[21] Harry J. Holzer. July 1998. *Will Employers Hire Welfare Recipients? Recent Survey Evidence from Michigan.* East Lansing, MI: Department of Economics, Michigan State University, Table 7.

[22] U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' First Annual Report to Congress on the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) program, cited in National Alliance of Business. October 1998. "The U.S. Labor Market: Getting Inside the Numbers." *Workforce Economics*, Vol. 4, Issue 3. Washington, DC: The National Alliance of Business, p. 9.

[23] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, pp. 126-143.

[24] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, pp. 132,135.

[25] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, pp. 126-143. This statistic may be misleading. Staffing firms are a major employer of welfare recipients employed in the business services sector, but these hires are recorded as hires within the temporary help industry, not the industry in which they are placed.

[26] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, pp. 126-143.

[27] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, p. 139.

[28] Harry J. Holzer, 1998, pp. 22-23.

[29] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, pp. 77-78.

[30] A 1996 survey of representative U.S. firms conducted by the American Society for Training and Development found that 43 percent of companies reported an increase in the percentage of employees receiving training while only 6 percent reported a decline. An average of 69 percent of employees at the surveyed companies received some training. Laurie Bassi and Mark Van Buren, "1998 ASTD State of the Industry Report." *Training and Development*, January 1998. pp. 26-29.

[31] For this project, JFF identified a group of about three dozen U.S. companies that met two criteria: 1) they have undertaken welfare-to-work efforts; and 2) they have a significant corporate presence in the United Kingdom. Information on companies was gathered from our own research, with additional assistance from the Boston College Center for Corporate Community Relations, Business for Social Responsibility, the National Alliance of Business, and the Welfare to Work Partnership. Nineteen firms agreed to in-depth interviews, which lasted 40 to 90 minutes.

By design, these firms are atypical U.S. companies. They are large, multinational businesses. They have already chosen to be active in welfare-to-work activities at the level of firm practice and, frequently, national policy. These are engaged employers with sizable corporate human resources staff. We chose them for this study precisely so that the experience of leading firms could be examined. It would be misguided to draw conclusions from these interviews alone about typical or overall employer participation in welfare-to-work. For this reason, we distinguish in the report between general trends and the experience of this sample of firms.

[32] Wirthlin Worldwide. 1998. "Survey of the Partnership's Business Partners." In The Welfare to Work Partnership. *Annual Report*. Washington, DC: Welfare to Work Partnership.

[33] Lyn A. Hogan. 1998. "Welfare-to-Work: Engaging the Business Sector." Paper presented at the International Conference on the Local Dimension of Welfare-to-Work, Sheffield, U.K., p. 8.

[34] The Work Opportunity Tax Credit offsets up to $2,400 of the wage cost of new hires who are retained for more than 120 hours. The Welfare-to-Work Tax Credit offers a maximum tax credit of $3,500 in the first year and up to $5,000 in the second for hiring and retaining long-term welfare recipients.

[35] Deborah Wilburn. October 1998. "100 Best Companies for Working Mothers." *Working Mother*.

[36] Rebecca Brown et al., July 1998. "Working Out of Poverty: Employment Retention and Career Advancement for Welfare Recipients." www.nga.org/Welfare/EmploymentRetentionEmployed.htm>

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

[37] Examples include WIRE-NET in Cleveland, which works with local manufacturing firms; the Garment Industry Development Corporation in New York City; and the San Francisco Hotels Partnership Project; and the Wisconsin Regional Training Partnership. See Kazis, *New Labor Market Intermediaries*.

[38] See Laura Dresser and Joel Rogers. 1997. *Rebuilding Job Access and Career Advancement Systems in the New Economy*. Madison, WI: Center on Wisconsin Strategy.

[39] Lyn A. Hogan. 1998. *Blueprint for Business: Reaching a New Workforce*. Washington D.C.: The Welfare to Work Partnership, pp. 9-15.

[40] Brandon Roberts and Jeffrey D. Padden, p. 67.

[41] Rebecca Brown et al., pp. 6-11.

[42] Julie Strawn, p. 24.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bassi, Laurie and Mark Van Buren. 1998. "The 1998 ASTD State of the Industry Report." *Training and Development.*

Bassi, Laurie J., Scott Cheney, and Mark Van Buren. 1997. "Training Industry Trends 1997." *Training and Development.* Alexandria, VA: The American Society for Training and Development.

Brown, Rebecca et al. 1998. *Working Out of Poverty: Employment Retention and Career Advancement for Welfare Recipients.* Washington, DC: National Governors' Association. <www.nga.org/Welfare/EmploymentRetention.htm>

Burtless, Gary. Summer-Fall 1998. "Can the Labor Market Absorb Three Million Welfare Recipients?" *Focus.* Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin.

Hammonds, Keith H. June 1, 1998. "Welfare-to-Work: A Good Start." *Business Week.*

Hogan, Lyn A. 1998. *Blueprint for Business: Reaching a New Workforce.* Washington, DC: The Welfare to Work Partnership.

Hogan, Lyn A. and Marco Argentieri. 1998. *The Road to Retention: Reducing Employee Turnover Through Welfare to Work.* Washington, DC: The Welfare to Work Partnership.

Hogan, Lyn A. 1998. "Welfare to Work: Engaging the Business Sector." Paper presented at the International Conference on the Local Dimension of Welfare to Work, Sheffield, U.K.

Holzer, Harry J. 1998. *Will Employers Hire Welfare Recipients? Recent Survey Evidence from Michigan.* East Lansing, MI: Department of Economics, Michigan State University.

Holzer, Harry J. 1996. *What Employers Want: Job Prospects for the Less-Educated.* New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Home Care Associates Training Institute. June 1997. *Welfare-to-Work: An Employers' Dispatch from the Front.* Bronx, NY: Home Care Associates Training Institute.

Kazis, Richard. 1998. *New Labor Market Intermediaries: What's Driving Them? Where Are They Headed?* A Background Paper for the Task Force on Reconstructing America's Labor Market Institutions. Cambridge, MA: MIT Sloan School of Management.

Levin-Waldman, Oren M. and George W. McCarthy. April 1998. "Small Business and the New Welfare" (Policy Notes).

Moss, Philip and Chris Tilly. 1996. *Growing Demand for "Soft" Skills in Four Industries: Evidence from In-Depth Employer Interviews.* New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.

National Alliance of Business. 1998. "The U.S. Labor Market: Getting Inside the Numbers." *Workforce Economics*, Vol. 4, Issue 3. Washington, DC: The National Alliance of Business.

National Governors' Association et al. July 1998. "Tracking Recipients After They Leave Welfare: Summaries of State Follow-up Studies." <www.nga.org/welfare/statefollowup.htm>

Pavetti, LaDonna. 1997. *How Much More Can They Work?—Setting Realistic Expectations for Welfare Mothers.* Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.

Pear, Robert. May 27, 1998. "Welfare Workers Rate High in Job Retention at Companies," *New York Times.*

Regenstein, Marsha, Jack A. Meyer, and Jennifer D. Hicks. 1998. *Job Prospects for Welfare Recipients: Employers Speak Out* (Occasional Paper Number 10). Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.

Roberts, Brandon and Jeffrey D. Padden. 1998. *Welfare to Wages: Strategies to Assist the Private Sector to Employ Welfare Recipients.* Chevy Chase, MD: Brandon Roberts + Associates.

Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM). June 22, 1997. "Gap Grows Between Worker Skills and Jobs Demands—HR Professionals Predict More Comprehensive Recruiting, Training" (press release). <www.shrm.org>

Strawn, Julie. 1998. *Beyond Job Search or Basic Education: Rethinking the Role of Skills in Welfare Reform.* Washington, DC: Center for Law and Social Policy.

Taylor, Judith Combes. 1997. *Learning at Work in a Work-Based Welfare System: Opportunities and Obstacles.* Boston, MA: Jobs for the Future.

Welfare to Work Partnership. 1998. *Annual Report.* Washington, DC: Welfare to Work Partnership.

Whiting, Basil J., Judith Combes Taylor, and Richard Kazis. September 1997. *Job Retention and Advancement Strategies for Low-Wage Young Adult Workers.* Boston, MA: Jobs for the Future.

Wilburn, Deborah. 1998. "100 Best Companies for Working Mothers." *Working Mother.*

Wirthlin Worldwide. January 1998. "Survey of the Partnership's Business Partners." In The Welfare to Work Partnership. *Annual Report.* Washington, DC: Welfare to Work Partnership.

Business Participation in Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from the United States

Prepared for the Business Forum on Welfare-to-Work: Lessons from America 20-21 January 1999, London

Case Studies

American Airlines

EDS

Federal Express

Manpower, Inc.

Marriott International

McDonald's Corporation

Pizza Hut

Salomon Smith Barney

United Airlines

United Parcel Service

Xerox Business Services

American Airlines (AA)

Business Area

One of the world's largest air-passenger carriers, with 80,000 employees

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Corporate citizenship motivated American Airlines, a member of the government-initiated Welfare to Work Partnership, to begin its welfare-to-work efforts. The airline also saw an opportunity to broaden its pool of applicants for entry-level jobs. The program has helped AA hire quality employees, while reducing hiring time and probably hiring costs as well. These benefits drive AA's welfare-to-work efforts.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Since late 1996, American Airlines and affiliated companies have hired 1,200 welfare recipients. AA hires welfare recipients mainly for part-time jobs in its airport operations, with starting pay of about $7.50 to $8.50 per hour, and full-time jobs in its reservation operation, with starting pay of $7 per hour.

Rather than create in-house programs, AA partners with community-based non-profit organizations to ready welfare recipients for employment. AA does not see preparing welfare recipients for employment as one of its core competencies.

Corporate and local staff roles. Corporate-level staff have developed a model for welfare-to-work activities and provide guidance to local operations that simplifies implementation. Corporate staff also identify quality partner organizations and build networks with those organizations to make it easier for local staff to access their services. Local staff build and sustain partnerships, recruit through them, and determine whether the partnerships are working well.

AA applies the same hiring standards to welfare recipients as it does to other applicants for entry-level jobs, and AA integrates its efforts to hire welfare recipients with the rest of local recruiting responsibilities. Therefore, corporate staff do not monitor local welfare-to-work efforts, although AA does periodically gather information on the number of welfare recipients hired.

Relationships with partners. American Airlines partners with organizations that have a reputation for quality services in readying welfare recipients for work. AA sets program quality standards and provides feedback about its satisfaction with outcomes. Local AA staff work closely with partners to assure that they understand AA's hiring standards, such as timeliness, communication skills, and attitude and behavior on the job. AA staff provide information about job openings and needed skills, participate in developing criteria for successful program completion (e.g., attendance, timeliness, attitude, and dress), give input on program design, participate in program activities such as presentation and interview skills development, and provide workplace tours and short-term job shadowing.

Local role, and support of retention and advancement. Supervisors are responsible for retention of employees. The airline has not developed specific retention services for welfare recipients, either in-house or through partner organizations. Instead, because AA recruits many entry-level employees from non-traditional backgrounds, it has sought to offer support for supervisors and coaching for all entry-level employees. The airline has also contracted with Ceridian, a for-profit employee assistance firm, to provide a resource-and-referral service for all employees. The service provides high-quality support in dealing with a wide range of work/life issues. It is designed to meet the needs of entry-level employees in particular, and it provides Spanish bilingual/bicultural services.

Because AA's airport agent and reservation jobs are complex, the airline provides entry-level employees with four to six weeks of orientation and training in technical and customer service skills. In addition, a less-intensive

American Airlines (AA)

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement ***continued***

supervisor-employee relationship for new reservations employees makes it possible for supervisors to listen in on calls, coach, and role model. In some locations, AA is testing the idea of having skilled co-workers provide role modeling and mentoring to new employees.

Future Trends

American Airlines involvement in welfare-to-work is dependent upon hiring needs and tight entry-level labor markets. The company expects to maintain or expand its involvement in welfare-to-work during the coming year.

Challenges and Promising Activities

Because lack of transportation limits the employee pool, it is a major challenge for employers with operations at airports. In Dallas/Fort Worth, AA is organizing a coalition of employers to address this challenge. However, the cost of increasing the availability of transportation is a serious constraint for airport-based firms.

Other than this effort, AA has rarely participated in intermediary organizations such as a Regional Employment Board or Workforce Development Council. However, the airline has received information from intermediaries on the quality of potential partners' services, thereby making it easier for AA to select its partners.

Having observed that AA employees often need assistance with non-work needs, the airline has contracted with Ceridian to provide a resource-and-referral service similar to the Associates Resource Line Ceridian developed for Marriott International. (See Marriott case study.) The service provides many of the benefits of good case management.

However, AA notes that the organizations with which it partners, other than Ceridian, tend to provide discrete services, rather than meet an employee's overall needs. AA suggests that if these providers of specialized services formed a network, they could better integrate their efforts to meet all of an employee's needs.

Key Lessons

- ***AA's experience with service providers has been surprising.*** "The extent to which service provider organizations have been cut off from companies in the past has really been eye-opening," says Mary Ann Lynch, AA's Managing Director for HR Policy and Employee Services. "They've been thrilled that AA reaches out to them." Lynch notes that new measures of success for agencies receiving public funding, such as the quality of jobs program participants secure and the extent to which they retain jobs, are strong incentives for service providers to work with employers.
- ***A portable credential is useful to employees, AA, and industry-wide.*** AA's Travel Academy training credentials participants for good jobs. AA has licensed the program to job-training programs and to secondary schools for use in school-to-career programs. By doing so, AA expands the pool of candidates for its jobs and provides benefits for other travel services firms, including small and mid-size employers.

Contact Person

Mary Ann Lynch, Managing Director, HR Policy and Employee Services, (817) 967-1433

EDS

Business Area

A professional services firm that provides computer systems and technology services, business process management, managing consulting, and electronic business; 110,000 employees, including 75,000 in the United States and over 9,000 in the U.K.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

EDS' goal for its welfare-to-work program is to increase shareholder value by combating the critical shortage of information technology labor, reducing hiring and training costs, and extending the firm's corporate citizenship, according to Laura Gragg, program manager for EDS' Government Partnership Plan. She says that the firm's welfare-to-work efforts, which have strong screening and training components, have provided a source for technically skilled employees. According to internal evaluations, welfare recipients who participate in EDS-sponsored training programs and are then hired by the company are more settled in their work environment than other new, entry-level employees. They are also more committed to their work, quicker to grasp new concepts, and more motivated to try new things.

Data from the EDS-sponsored Advanced Technology Program (ATP) show that the program achieves substantial savings for the firm. Almost three-quarters of the savings reflect the difference between entry-level and average compensation, with the balance resulting about equally from lower recruiting and training costs and from the use of public funds to underwrite training.

EDS' success in using public funds for training welfare recipients has been influential in its recent decision to substantially expand this strategy. Through its new Government Partnership Plan, EDS plans to seek all sources of public funding that its employees are eligible for, use those funds to underwrite wider training, and apply the savings to expanding the employee development program.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

EDS has partnered with other organizations to develop award-winning programs providing technical training and mentorship services.

Technical training for welfare recipients. The Advanced Technology Program (ATP) is an award-winning partnership of EDS, other employers, three community colleges, and state and local organizations that provide support services. The program is limited to welfare recipients and receives federal, state, and local funding through Michigan's workforce development boards.

ATP's intensive training combines classroom and on-the-job learning. Following careful screening, a five-week preparation phase provides introductory technical/professional skills training. Next, an eight-week job-skills development phase provides technical training in one of several employment tracks and continued training in professional skills. Finally, participants enter a four- to five-week internship, with both classes and on-the-job training. ATP participants must put in 55 hours a week for 18 weeks.

EDS hires participants who complete the program successfully. The firm has hired system administrator associates, business analysts, and help-desk staff from ATP. Incomes in these positions are high enough for many employees to end all public assistance, including food stamps and Medicaid, within a year of employment.

Support for retention and advancement. When hiring a welfare recipient, EDS provides formal support from supervisors and informal support by co-workers. A best-practice example is the award-winning Work First program, operated by EDS and Oakland Community College. The program provides a mentor-manager orientation to assist welfare recipients with work transition and workplace navigation skills.

EDS sees staff development as the key to improved retention. The firm helps staff develop individual plans and provides a range of training and tuition-reimbursement options.

EDS

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement *continued*

Relationships with partners. Strong partnerships, careful screening, and extensive training are key to EDS's welfare-to-work program. Over the years, the firm's local staff have developed significant partnerships with Oakland (Michigan) Community College, The Cornerstone Partnership in St. Louis, and Detroit's Focus: Hope. EDS has invested substantial resources into developing training at these organizations, providing technology, technical assistance, and curriculum design/development. It also loans executives, such as the chief of information for Focus: Hope, to the training agencies.

EDS recently donated a computer lab to the Dallas Urban League, which will use it for preemployment software training. EDS will work with Urban League staff to prepare welfare recipients to enter the workforce. In addition, EDS will define and disseminate information about job titles and skill sets for open positions and help the Urban League pursue continuous improvement activities.

EDS seeks to partner with organizations that will recruit welfare recipients who are potential employees and assist them with overcoming barriers to employment, such as the need for child care and transportation.

Expanding activities. EDS is rolling out an extensive new corporate human resources campaign that seeks to increase the employment of welfare recipients. A recently implemented, more centralized corporate structure has provided the opportunity to expand its welfare-to-work efforts and leverage its local experience. Under the leadership of the firm's new Executive Vice President for Human Resources Worldwide, the Government Partnerships Plan will help EDS retain and upgrade the skills of its workforce, employ more welfare recipients, and underwrite these activities with public funds.

Corporate staff have identified at least seven federal and state training subsidies, tax incentives, and hiring incentive programs available to local operations. Staff are leading efforts to enable the firm to identify current employees and new hires who are eligible for subsidies. They are also training managers to identify job codes for which welfare recipients could be hired, determine core competencies for each position, and apply sophisticated recruiting methods to identify quality candidates. Corporate staff will also design training for welfare recipients.

Goals for local participation in hiring, retaining, and developing welfare recipients will be set by corporate headquarters. Local staff will develop two-year implementation plans and provide quarterly progress reports to corporate staff. Each EDS unit will identify a project leader, identify a number of new positions for the initiative and determine the types of training those individuals will receive.

Challenges and Promising Practices

Demand for employees with information technology skills will remain strong for the foreseeable future, even if unemployment increases generally. This makes recruiting good job candidates a key challenge. Through strong partnerships and high-quality programs, EDS has had success in recruiting highly motivated and technically adept individuals who are receiving welfare benefits and training them.

To meet its business needs and to promote local economic development, EDS is reviewing the opportunity to develop seven customer call centers close to low-income communities. Staffing these centers is a challenge. Typical call center employees are 18 to 24 years old, an age group with relatively high rates of unemployment in low-income communities.

EDS

Key Lessons

- ***Job-focused pre-employment training is effective in developing technical staff for EDS.*** The award-winning Advanced Technology Program meets the needs of welfare recipients and EDS. However, a large amount of pre-employment training can be difficult to carry out under laws and regulations that stress a work-first approach.
- ***EDS has used partnerships to provide effective technical training.*** In partnership with employers, community colleges and community-based organizations are effective platforms for high-quality technical training.
- ***The success of EDS in training welfare recipients holds promise for broader workforce development efforts.*** The Government Partnership Plan is a bold strategic effort to make multi-faceted connections between public resources and business objectives while also addressing issues of recruitment and retention of non-traditional labor pools. It will require both flexibility on the part of public funding sources and the ability of EDS to balance its own objectives with public policies.

Contact Person

Lauren Gragg, Program Manager, (972) 604-2212

Federal Express (FedEx)

Business Area

One of the largest express transportation companies, providing domestic and international delivery services with about 140,000 employees, including 5,000 in the U.K.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

FedEx began its welfare-to-work initiative in 1997. While government tax credits initially led FedEx to hire welfare recipients, the firm has identified four additional important benefits: reduced hiring time, improved quality of new hires, the ability of former welfare recipients to stay on the job and advance in employment at FedEx compared with other entry-level workers, and the increased loyalty of new employees to FedEx.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

FedEx is involved with Families First, a Memphis initiative that is part of Tennessee's welfare-to-work effort. The FedEx/Families First Partnership involves state employment and human service agencies, local governments, the local private industry council, community colleges, education and training providers, and other service providers. The program is designed to meet the needs of both employers and job seekers.

Within the past year, FedEx has hired approximately 260 Families First participants, placing them in either warehouse or clerical jobs. Most are employed as cargo handlers at a base rate of $8.67 per hour.

Relationships with partners. FedEx works closely with training organizations that prepare welfare recipients for employment. These organizations provide training in job readiness and other soft skills, as well as specific skill training for FedEx jobs. The training stresses the importance of taking initiative and seeking opportunities beyond entry-level work. FedEx attributes the program's positive outcomes to the trainers' pre-employment interaction with and orientation of new employees.

FedEx recruiters meet regularly with trainers and program participants prior to employment. The meetings help trainers incorporate FedEx standards for instruction and curriculum into the program. FedEx recruiters spend one-on-one time with participants, helping them become familiar with one another, an aspect of the program that eases the transition to employment.

Support for retention and advancement. FedEx offers "flex time," trains managers in implementing flexible schedules and other work/life issues, and has many support groups to help employees balance work and family life. Former welfare recipients receive the same benefits package as other employees, including medical, dental and vision-care insurance, and tuition reimbursement. For the last three years, *Working Mother* magazine has selected FedEx as one of the 100 Best Companies for Working Mothers.

Challenges and Promising Activities

Entry-level work at FedEx is demanding and turnover is high. Most, if not all, entry-level positions filled by Families First participants are part-time. However, retention rates for Families First workers are better-than-average for the firm as a whole due to the pre-employment training participants receive and the substantial benefits FedEx provides to its part-time workers.

Transportation and child care present consistent difficulties for new employees. While reimbursement for child care is available from the government, FedEx personnel and partner organizations deal with short-term or last-minute crises as they arise. The close relationship between FedEx and its partner organizations makes it possible to address crises and system-level problems.

To ensure the integrity and quality of pre-employment training, FedEx works closely with training staff and program participants. FedEx has invested considerable time and effort in setting standards and policies, designing curricula, and informing instructors about FedEx job openings and skill needs. Moreover, FedEx works to ensure that staff approach participants

Federal Express (FedEx)

Challenges and Promising Activities
continued

as individuals: it cautions instructors and supervisors not to "treat participants as a number, [because] they feel it."

Future Trends

FedEx intends to expand its welfare-to-work efforts beyond its Memphis hub. It is contacting its recruitment centers nationwide to plan recruitment and hiring strategies. Centers report on the number of welfare recipients employed to date, as well as plans for a local welfare-to-work effort. FedEx encourages the recruitment centers to seek partner organizations, and the Memphis office provides guidance to centers that wish to establish new local welfare-to-work programs. FedEx expects its need for entry-level workers to continue, making this investment worthwhile.

After one year of operation, the Memphis office has identified several ways to strengthen its welfare-to-work program and support employees' transition to work. The office plans to establish a voluntary mentor program for new hires, in the expectation that mentors will ease the adjustment to work, reduce the adjustment period, and help lower turnover. FedEx has also established a pilot program in which people seeking GEDs are hired first in temporary positions; after receiving GEDs, they are considered for permanent positions. The firm is also arranging for Families First participants to have a quality, accessible child care.

Key Lessons

- ***FedEx's welfare-to-work program depends upon communication and commitment.*** Strong, constructive, working relationships among FedEx human resources staff and managers, the training staff, and other partners involved in the Families First initiative are essential. These relationships enable FedEx to communicate expectations clearly, provide feedback on quality, and guide improvement of pre-employment training and orientation.
- ***FedEx provides substantial, consistent internal support.*** Maintaining these relationships requires support within FedEx and consistent, thoughtful effort over time. Benefits to the firm make this substantial investment worthwhile.
- ***To employ individuals with significant barriers, FedEx needs strong partners.*** Workers hired through Families First often face complicated barriers to employment, and those who work with them need to be committed to seeing them succeed.
- ***FedEx has found that involvement frequently expands to new services and supports.*** Not only does FedEx expect commitment to success from supervisors and trainers, the firm is demonstrating it by piloting mentoring programs and through its work on GED and child-care activities.

Contact Person

Willie Payne, Senior Recruitment Specialist,
(901) 797-5824

Manpower, Inc.

Business Area

The world's largest temporary-help and staffing firm, with 2 million employees, including about 33,000 in the U.K.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Manpower's core business is to help other employers meet short- and longer-term staffing needs. Manpower specializes in office, light industrial, and professional technical jobs. Technical jobs are the firm's fastest growing area. "Welfare-to-work makes good business sense because there are many jobs going unfilled and many candidates who want to work," says Manpower CEO Mitchell Fromstein.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Manpower has employed welfare recipients for many years and doesn't distinguish between welfare recipients and other job seekers. Manpower got involved with welfare-to-work as a natural extension of its business and out of a sense of civic responsibility.

Manpower is a temporary employment agency, but it provides a vehicle for welfare recipients to secure permanent jobs. About 54 percent of Manpower's temporary placements in the United States lead to permanent job offers.

Building the system. Manpower is a founding member of the Welfare to Work Partnership and local partnerships throughout the United States, including those in Nashville, TN; Orange County, CA; Milwaukee, WI; Granite City, IL; and Detroit, MI. In addition, Manpower's experience as an intermediary between employers and job seekers and its systems are a significant resource for the firm's welfare-to-work efforts. Manpower's credibility with and knowledge of employers extends the reach of existing placement efforts and can enhance the opportunity individuals receiving welfare have to achieve permanent employment.

In Orange County, Manpower partners with Curtis & Associates to help participants in California's GAIN (Greater Avenues of Independence) Program move from welfare-to-work. Curtis & Associates recruits welfare recipients and Manpower trains and employs them. Edward Ramirez of Curtis & Associates says that Manpower personnel provide a great deal of mentoring and motivation, reducing the stress of seeking employment.

In Louisville, Kentucky, Manpower has an office at the Wiggins Family Investment Center. This one-stop shopping approach makes it easy for welfare recipients to access social services, including a wide range of job training and assistance in overcoming barriers to work. Manpower provides job-seekers with assessments of interest and skill. It also offers training in soft skills, such as punctuality and communication, and computer training on more than 350 software packages.

The "reverse funnel." Manpower's employment process efficiently interviews, evaluates, trains, and matches welfare recipients with jobs. Unlike the typical "funnel-like" hiring process, with many candidates seeking one job, Manpower's "reverse funnel" approach matches each welfare recipient to one of the many temporary jobs Manpower seeks to fill. The firm also provides a wide range of training services before and after an individual goes to work through Manpower.

Corporate role. Manpower's corporate staff provide research on worker assessments, job training strategies, labor market trends, and customer demands. Corporate staff have developed innovative assessment and training strategies.

Local role. Local Manpower staff develop relationships with employers, enter into government contracts, and build relationships with community organizations in order to secure job orders, funding for training, and recruitment assistance.

Relationship with partners. Manpower has established partnerships with city governments, community colleges, and community-based organizations in Seattle, Philadelphia, New York, San Francisco, and Nashville. Manpower's role is to provide services that enable welfare recipients to meet Manpower's prevailing standards for temporary employees. The firm bases its

Manpower, Inc.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement ***continued***

efforts on continuing skill building, leading to a career path rather than simply a job. To achieve this objective, Manpower combines work experience, upgrade training, and retention assistance.

In Nashville, the firm won a contract to provide training services to welfare recipients who are moving to work through the city's welfare-to-work program. Public funding produces as much revenue for Manpower's welfare-to-work training as its other training activities, according to Debra Richardson, Manpower's Nashville Training Manager.

Manpower has developed numerous relationships with non-profit, faith-based, and government organizations as part of its welfare-to-work efforts. These partners provide recruitment services and assist participants to overcome barriers to employment. The most important characteristics of successful partners are flexibility and their capacity to help individuals quickly overcome child-care, transportation, and other barriers.

Services Manpower provides. Manpower's welfare-to-work partnerships are designed to prepare welfare recipients for entry-level jobs, with skills especially in call centers, data entry, office skills, and information technology. Manpower brings four major assets to these partnerships: assessment, skills training, employer credibility and access, and upgrade training.

Assessment. Manpower's methods of matching job seekers with job openings are the same for all candidates. Assessment procedures are designed to "screen in" job-seekers for a range of jobs rather than screen them out from jobs. Assessment activities frequently include the production of work samples and in-depth interviews on work history, job preferences, and skills.

Training. Manpower has created a video-based "Putting Quality to Work" program that illustrates the use in work situations of "soft skills" such as punctuality, accepting supervision, taking initiative, and treating co-workers as customers. The firm's Skillware program provides hands-on experience with the newest office software. A self-paced computer-based training tool that uses work simulations, Skillware can develop office job-related software competence in eight hours or less, and provides training in word processing, database, spreadsheet, electronic communication, and data entry. In addition, training in Good Manufacturing Practices and training in high-demand soldering skills, is available for those seeking light manufacturing jobs.

Employer credibility/access. Manpower has extensive relationships with employers, well-positioning it to open the doors to jobs for welfare recipients. Manpower's reputation for success in matching the person and the job provides credibility to welfare-to-work partnerships in which it participates. Within those partnerships, Manpower reassures firms that employees will have the necessary skills and characteristics. Manpower also benefits welfare-to-work partnerships with its Quality Performance program, which monitors job-placement matches and provides training to continuously improve the extent to which it meets employer needs and includes appraisals of staff performance and job skill assessment validity.

Support for retention and advancement. Successful completion of training is factored into Manpower's job-matching process, increasing its value to employers. Manpower is beginning to integrate training with placements by developing internships and work assignments based on the skills an individual seeks to develop. In addition, Manpower provides all employees access to TechTrack—individualized, self-based training in over 1,000 networking and programming technologies that leads to certification. This training makes it possible for some welfare-to-work participants to get lucrative information

Manpower, Inc.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement
continued

technology jobs, especially over the longer term. TechTrack is already available through an Internet site, and Manpower plans to make the full range of its training available on-line.

Challenges and Promising Practices

Manpower's research shows that the U.S. economy is strong and that the likelihood is very small that unemployment rates will increase substantially in the foreseeable future. However, in a downturn, it is likely that the last hired will be the first fired. Because so many welfare recipients have gotten jobs recently, a significant number might lose jobs. Public funding for outplacement and counseling services might become very important.

Key Lessons

- ***Manpower helps smaller businesses manage hiring risk.*** By providing a "try before you buy" service, Manpower reduces the risk involved in hiring, especially for smaller firms that lack a human resources staff.
- ***Manpower has developed tools that fit welfare-to-work efforts.*** Because it benefits the client firm where employees are placed and the job seeker, Manpower has developed high-quality assessment, training, and job-matching tools and strategies. These have great value in supporting candidates' desire to retain employment and gain promotions.
- ***Manpower's partners provide support services.*** Because Manpower doesn't offer assistance in overcoming non-work barriers to employment, it is building partnerships with organizations that can provide that assistance.

Contact Person

Sharon Canter, Director of Strategic Information, (414) 906-6253

Marriott International

Business Area

A worldwide operator and franchiser of hotels and senior living communities, with 117,000 employees, including 2,000 in the U.K.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Among welfare recipients who participate in Marriott's Pathways to Independence program, retention rates and job performance levels are far higher and their loyalty to the firm is greater than entry-level employees in general. The retention rate is 65 percent after one year versus 50 percent for entry-level employees who did not go through the Pathways program.

"We have been able to get employees who hit the ground running," says Janet Tully, a former director of community employment and training for Marriott. "We knew that they would be well trained. That they have been so loyal is something we really did not expect."

The Pathways program also provides access to a new labor pool, reduces hiring costs, and gives Marriott wonderful publicity, according to Fred Kramer, director of community employment and training.

Marriott's pioneering Associate Resource Line (ARL) provides resource and referral information designed specifically to meet the needs of entry-level employees. The ARL helps employees maintain high-quality job performance, reduces turnover, and reduces associated costs. It has yielded a 4-to-1 return on investment, with 23 percent of users reporting reduced absenteeism, 34 percent reporting being less distracted, 20 percent noting improved relationships with co-workers, and 17 percent saying the service meant they did not have to quit their jobs, according to Marriott.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

The Pathways to Independence program enables Marriott to hire qualified employees; the Associate Resource Line helps reduce turnover.

Pathways to Independence highlights. Pathways to Independence began in 1991. In 1998, Marriott ran the program at 28 hotels in the United States. Success in the program guarantees a job at Marriott or another hotel. Marriott's data show that typically 95 percent of program participants secure jobs.

In 1997, 220 welfare recipients participated in the program. In 1998, its best year ever, Marriott expanded participation to over 500 people and expects to have at least as many participants in 1999. With contracts through the year 2000 in hand, Marriott expects to expand the Pathways program.

Associate Resource Line highlights. The ARL has widespread use throughout Marriott. It is available to entry-level employees in general, including welfare recipients hired through the Pathways program. Data for 1996 indicates that 44 percent of calls relate to child-care or parenting issues, 9 percent relate to elder care issues, 27 percent concern personal crises (e.g., housing, immigration, domestic abuse, custody, harassment, child abuse, domestic crises), and 20 percent involve counseling. The ARL has a multilingual capacity; in 1996, 13 percent of callers did not speak English.

Pathways to Independence Program operations. A six-week training program combines 60 hours of classroom training with 120 hours of on-the-job occupational skills training. The program is designed to build relationships between trainees and Marriott staff, who conduct classes and skill training. Marriott staff play a much more significant role in carrying out the Pathways program than is common for welfare-to-work programs. Each class of 15 welfare recipients involves 15 to 40 Marriott Associates.

The Pathways program focuses on soft skills. Marriott has found that the program's success in helping participants develop a positive self-image increases their willingness to see themselves in a positive work role and to be held accountable for their actions. Training topics include customer service, teamwork, accepting and giving criticism, and career development opportunities at Marriott. The program also teaches life skills

Marriott International

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement *continued*

(e.g., personal finance and how to apply for the Earned Income Tax Credit, a federal income supplement).

Marriott contracts with government agencies for funding that offsets a substantial portion of program costs.

The role of partners. Marriott develops partnerships with government funders and community-based organizations on a city-by-city basis. Among its partners are Jewish Vocational Services, Goodwill, and Job Corps. Partners are the exclusive referral source for the Pathways program. They pre-screen candidates, using procedures similar to typical hotel screening, such as checking for substance abuse, literacy, and a willingness to work. Some partners understand Marriott's work environment very well. In at least one case, Marriott accepted into Pathways 94 percent of welfare recipients referred by a partner. Marriott's goal is to accept 50 percent of referrals; it considers that an acceptance rate of 25 percent or less is a sign that the partner needs to improve its understanding of Marriott's employee requirements and program standards.

Partners provide a variety of other services as well. The best partners provide training in life skills, behavior, and self-esteem; case management services before, during, and after the program; support for overcoming barriers to participation in the training (e.g., by convening trouble-shooting sessions among the participant, the case manager, and Pathways program staff); and services to encourage job retention and career development.

Roles of corporate and local staff in the Pathways program. Corporate staff developed the Pathways program and the ARL, market the programs to hotels, and monitor quality. In regard to Pathways, corporate staff modify the curriculum to keep it current with Marriott work processes, set up contracts with funding sources, train hotel staff to host the program, and liaison between local hotel staff and the funding source. Over time, corporate staff reduce their role from major responsibility to troubleshooting.

Local staff participate in the program voluntarily but tend to continue their involvement once they see the benefits. They run the classroom aspects of the program. Local staff also supervise a job-shadow component of Pathways. At some hotels, Marriott's human resources staff supervise the program and serve as job counselors during welfare recipients' transition to employment.

Marriott provides partners with information about job openings and needed skills and input into policies and program design that improves partners' capacity to help participants meet Marriott's standards. Marriott staff also work hard to assure that partners share the firm's expectations, and they work with partners' staff on continuous improvement of the programs.

Marriott considers that the best measure of Pathways' value is the number of hotels involved. For contract reporting, Marriott also tracks data on participation, including the number of welfare recipients who attend the first day of class, the number who graduate, the number who become employed, and the number retained for three and six months.

Support for retention and advancement. The ARL reflects Marriott's business strategy: to have the most advanced human resources practices possible. According to a JFF case study by Basil J. Whiting, the ARL provides round-the-clock assistance using a case-manager approach. Employees can get help with any personal problem that troubles them or a family member. The ARL also provides managers with advice on how to help a subordinate. ARL staff spend enough time, often over an hour, to get to know the employee and the details of the problem, to research the problem, to identify resources that can help overcome it, and to attempt to contact the resource provider before referring the employee. When the employee and ARL staffer agree that the matter is satisfactorily resolved, the case is closed. Within 30

Marriott International

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement ***continued***

days, a Quality Assurance Team checks on the results and the employee's satisfaction. To develop the ARL, Marriott contracted with The Partnership Group, which has merged with Ceridian Performance Partners and continues to provides the ARL services.

Marriott provides a range of family-friendly benefits that have led in its inclusion among *Working Mothers* magazine's 100 Best Companies for Working Mothers for the last eight years. The firm provides benefits to all entry-level employees who work over 25 hours per week, such as paying 65 percent of health insurance premiums and offering information about and access to a variety of child-care programs and assistance.

After hiring Pathways program completers, Marriott assigns responsibility to their immediate supervisors for providing mentoring and problem-solving assistance. Marriott also stresses the opportunities entry-level workers have for promotions. "Examples of people climbing our corporate career ladder are all around," says Tully. "It makes our welfare-to-work employees realize they can get somewhere."

Key Lessons

- ***Support from the very top is key to the success of Marriott's welfare-to-work program.*** Pathways to Independence requires a great deal of work and complete commitment from the company.
- ***Marriott has found the need to overcome stereotypes about welfare recipients.*** The firm has had to address this with some of its employees in order to successfully implement Pathways.
- ***Marriott's strategy of being the industry leader regarding benefits drove development of the Associate Resource Line.*** This strategy created the conditions that made innovation possible. Notably, several firms have adopted a resource-line service as an entry-level employee benefits
- ***Promotion from within is a key aspect of Marriott corporate culture.*** Promotion from within increases entry-level employee commitment, increasing the quality of customer service. It makes it possible for Marriott to capture the value its investments in entry-level employees.
- ***Partners are important to welfare-to-work programs even when the firm's staff carry out most activities.*** Partners recruit, screen, and provide support services to overcome welfare recipients' barriers to employment, especially those that are not directly related to work, such as child care and transportation.
- ***The quality of partners' services occasionally has been a problem.*** "To ensure quality is continuous in programs, Marriott depends upon open two-way communication with partners," says Kramer. "Partners need to be aware of Marriott's expectations and what they have to do to meet them. In turn, Marriott must know its partners' needs and expectations."

Contact Person

Fred G. Kramer, Director, Community Employment and Training, (301) 380-8583

McDonald's Corporation

Business Area

A quick-service restaurant business with over a million employees, including 250,000 in the United States and 36,500 in the U.K.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

The major benefits to McDonald's of its welfare-to-work programs are greater retention, higher quality employees, and higher productivity. While the programs have not reduced hiring costs, they have sometimes reduced the time it takes to hire entry-level employees.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

McDonald's restaurants provide easily accessible employment to welfare recipients. About 20,000 of the firm's employees were receiving welfare when hired, an unplanned outcome of the firm's recruitment and hiring activities. McDonald's considers welfare recipients a valuable pool of potential employees for its many lower-wage ($5.75 to $5.90 per hour), entry-level jobs.

The firm created its welfare-to-work program to increase the benefits of hiring welfare recipients for both its restaurants and their employees. McDonald's provides training that makes new employees more productive and increases the quality of their work. It also provides an opportunity for employees to get better jobs, either at McDonald's or with other employers. McDonald's has programs in New Orleans, Minneapolis, South Florida, Chicago, and Hartford and is expanding its efforts to hire and train welfare recipients.

Because 85 percent of McDonald's restaurants are owner-operated, the growth of the firm's welfare-to-work program is determined by store-owners' perceptions of its benefits. The program holds lessons for other franchise businesses and for efforts of large firms assisting with small ones.

Corporate role. McDonald's corporate staff encourage restaurants to participate in the welfare-to-work program by making it easier to do so. Corporate staff help restaurant managers develop partnerships, provide them with su port services, and design training. However, corporate staff do not set goals or monitor restaurants' efforts to employ welfare recipie

Corporate staff meet with high-level governm officials to pave the way for good working r tionships between restaurants and local agen The corporate message to government agenc is "make it simple." Corporate staff have als contracted with another company to process applications for tax credits the firm receives hiring welfare recipients. In addition, the fir employs regional retention managers who h restaurant staff improve training and retenti

McDonald's has created an employee-develo ment curriculum based on its school-to-wor program. The beginning level has modules customer service, business communication, business math, job readiness, and business systems—skills applicable to many retail job To emphasize opportunities to move up a ca ladder, the curriculum has more advanced levels. Within about a year of starting work, employees can train for McDonald's jobs pa $8 to $9 per hour, sometimes with benefits.

Local role. To meet local employment needs restaurant managers develop partnerships w service providers. Some restaurants have de nated a training manager as well. The basis these local efforts are the framework, curricu lum, and networks supplied by corporate st For the many owner-operated McDonald's, these resources represent a significant corpo contribution.

Relationships with partners. McDonald's sto work with a variety of partners. For exampl members of the Minnesota Retail Merchants Association have agreed to use the McDonal training to prepare employees for work in th own establishments. Jewish Vocational Servi teaches the curriculum to welfare recipients, and McDonald's has the first opportunity to employ them when they complete the traini if McDonald's doesn't offer them jobs, other members of the association can hire them.

McDonald's Corporation

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement *continued*

Restaurant managers provide information to partners regarding job openings and needed skills, work with partners to establish screening criteria that meet McDonald's needs, and set standards for the soft skills, hard skills, and performance levels welfare recipients need to achieve. Partners provide training before and/or immediately after welfare recipients are hired. As work processes at McDonald's change, the training curriculum does as well; McDonald's works with its training partners to respond successfully to these changes.

Partners also provide other services, including screening for substance abuse and assisting in overcoming barriers to employment, such as a lack of child care or transportation. Some partners provide post-employment support.

Training for partner organizations. McDonald's has developed a customer-service training program for the staff of partner agencies. Topics include customer service in non-profit organizations, vision, how to avoid mistakes, and measuring program outcomes.

Retention and advancement. McDonald's emphasizes the importance of developing career ladders for welfare recipients. It considers itself a point of entry to the labor market for first-time workers. Its training supports internal promotion as well as skill development that enables employees to secure better jobs with other employers.

Involvement in governance. McDonald's is a member of the welfare-to-work Partnership. Corporate staff participate in the Illinois Human Resource Investment Council. The company believes that the activity that is most important for it to promote with the council is planning, and it has also assisted efforts to assess the needs of job seekers and those who work in entry-level jobs. McDonald's is also involved in several local partnerships, including those in Chicago, New Orleans, and Minneapolis.

Challenges and Promising Practices

McDonald's restaurant managers are quite aware of the trade-off between investing in developing successful partnerships versus other recruitment strategies. They evaluate the extent to which programs meet their hiring needs and how easy they are to work with. Sometimes, the managers choose not to invest the time and make the changes necessary to develop partnerships. Partners have sometimes been difficult to work with and inconsistent in meeting McDonald's expectations. However, programs succeed when both the restaurant staff and service provider make a commitment to the partnership. Restaurant managers must be clear about the quality and quantity of positions they seek to fill, consistent in their expectations, and open to new recruitment and hiring strategies. McDonald's has found its customer-service training to be a key asset in building partners' understanding of the approach it expects them to take in meeting the firm's needs.

McDonald's corporate culture is to promote from within, and its training supports this objective. However, the firm has found that a ninth-grade level of literacy is necessary for the upper levels of training, which is required by jobs paying $8 to $9 per hour.

Future Trends

McDonald's expects its welfare-to-work efforts to expand gradually. The firm prepared a report on its experience in New Orleans and made it available on-line as a model for other McDonald's restaurants that want to begin welfare-to-work programs.

Key Lessons

- ***McDonald's has developed ways to assist smaller businesses.*** The efforts of corporate staff to help managers develop partnerships, provide them with support services, and design training programs are examples of steps large corporations can take to benefit smaller ones.

McDonald's Corporation

Key Lessons
continued

- ***McDonald's provides training for partner organizations.*** McDonald's customer-service training for the staff of agencies that provide recruitment, assessment, training, and support services is a concrete method of increasing their capacity to meet the needs of business.
- ***McDonald's jobs can lead to better employment.*** McDonald's training programs make it possible for employees to advance within the company. They also provide skills that qualify McDonald's employees for employment in many service-sector jobs and offer the opportunity for them to climb occupational career ladders.

Contact Person

Rogercarole Rogers, Staff Director, Employment Development, (630) 623-6540

Pizza Hut

Business Area

A fast-foods business, Pizza Hut has 120,000 U.S. employees, of whom 108,000 are in entry-level positions. In the United States, Pizza Hut is part of Tricon Global Restaurants and has the largest percentage of company-owned stores among fast-food chains. In the U.K., Pizza Hut has about 8,500 employees and is a unit of Whitbread.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Pizza Hut cites several benefits of employing welfare recipients. Stores receive tax credits for hiring welfare recipients, and Pizza Hut's highly effective-tax-credit recovery system provides significant revenue to the firm. Jobs Plus, Pizza Hut's most promising welfare-to-work program, has reduced annual turnover, (to 28 percent for participants versus 130 percent for new employees in general). The firm estimates that each avoided new hire saves at least $300 in recruitment and training costs. Other Jobs Plus benefits include reductions in the time it takes to fill positions and greater employee loyalty to Pizza Hut.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Pizza Hut hired at least 9,000 welfare recipients in 1997. About 700 of these individuals participated in the Jobs Plus program. Tim Cate, Manager of Federal and State Hiring Incentives, projects that Pizza Hut will have hired 1,300 welfare recipients through Jobs Plus in 1998.

Jobs Plus began in 1985, when a labor shortage affected the U.S. service industry in general. At that time, Pizza Hut formed a task force that recommended efforts to hire seniors, non-working mothers, and individuals with disabilities. In response, the firm established the Job Plus program, which provided employment services to support individuals with disabilities. Pizza Hut has employed more than 14,000 individuals with severe disabilities through the program, among whom 73 percent were developmentally disabled. By the early 1990s, Pizza Hut was receiving the most revenue of any U.S. company from the Targeted Jobs Tax Credit.

During the last several years, the Jobs Plus Program has also helped Pizza Hut to recruit, train, employ, and arrange support services for individuals receiving welfare who also have disabilities.

Jobs Plus is a partnership between Pizza Hut and Integrated Resources Institute (IRI), a non-profit agency. Through a national database of agencies, IRI sources employees for Pizza Hut and manages a two-way flow of information: agencies let the program know about job seekers who meet Pizza Hut's hiring criteria, and store managers let the program know about job openings.

Pizza Hut pays IRI for its services. The value Pizza Hut receives in return is that IRI makes recruitment cost-effective and meets Pizza Hut's employment needs well. What makes it so easy for Pizza Hut is that the firm does not have to commit major corporate resources to the program or train local staff. All corporate staff need to do is to explain the service to store managers and provide the Jobs Plus phone number.

Pizza Hut also trusts IRI to maintain quality control. Jobs Plus will stop working with an agency that regularly refers job seekers who don't have the competencies for work at Pizza Hut.

Pizza Hut considers IRI a business partner. The Work Opportunity Tax Credit, which has replaced the Targeted Jobs Tax Credit, expired in 1997. This is the key tax credit for the Pizza Hut program. Although it was re-approved retroactively, there was a period when Pizza Hut did not receive tax credits for hiring Jobs Plus participants. During this time, Pizza Hut continued to work with IRI (and pay for Jobs Plus services).

At the local level, store managers that regularly use Jobs Plus get to know the agencies that provide support services to program participants. The most successful employment results from a

Pizza Hut

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement *continued*

strong working relationship between a manager and a local agency.

Services Pizza Hut's partners provide. Agencies typically prepare candidates for employment before referring them to Pizza Hut. The preparation focuses on soft skills but can include hard skills. After hiring, many agencies provide an on-the-job "coach" who works with the new employee. This model, common among agencies that support employment of individuals with disabilities, provides a high level of service. The coach teaches the new employee the job and facilitates interactions with supervisors and co-workers. The coach also helps with support services, such as securing reliable transportation for the employee to and from work.

Roles of corporate and local staff. Corporate staff market the Work Opportunity Tax Credits available to stores and have set up a system so stores can use them easily. The tax credits go to the store's profit line, so hiring welfare recipients improves store managers' performance measurements and year-end bonuses. Corporate staff report that many stores come to have high levels of participation after having a few good experiences hiring welfare recipients and receiving tax credits.

The tax credit operates as a "pull mechanism," in contrast to a "push mechanism" such as corporate staff requiring store managers to hire welfare recipients.

Regional field staffing leaders assist stores in making connections with IRI, local service providers, and government agencies.

Through the program's work with individuals with disabilities, store managers have received education, support, and rewards to develop individualized, successful positions for Jobs Plus participants, and have learned to work with job coaches and the agencies that employ them. The managers apply this approach to employing welfare recipients. However, once a person is hired, Pizza Hut treats those who come through Jobs Plus the same as other entry-level employees. They get positive feedback, especially during the first two weeks, and managers take steps to make the new employee feel like part of the store's team. Like all employees, they also get regular in-store training and new product roll-out training. Managers also try to be flexible regarding work scheduling. Pizza Hut increases wages yearly and tends to promote from within. Employees can be nominated for promotions by other employees or nominate themselves.

Challenges and Promising Practices

By the 1990s, Pizza Hut had received Targeted Jobs Tax Credits for more employees than any other employer, in large part because of its employment of individuals with disabilities through Jobs Plus. Recent uncertainty related to the Work Opportunities Tax Credit is a major frustration for Pizza Hut. In September 1998, Congress renewed the credit for one year. However, Pizza Hut has found it difficult to commit resources to expanding the Jobs Plus program when the future of the tax credit is uncertain.

Pizza Hut contrasts the Jobs Plus program and agencies that participate in it with its general experience with welfare offices. Pizza Hut has not had an easy time working with government welfare agencies. The company believes this is because of a difference in organizational goals and culture: welfare offices seek to get checks to people, while other agencies want to get people to work and help integrate clients into jobs.

Key Lessons

- ***Partnerships bring expertise and efficiency.*** Jobs Plus is Pizza Hut's most promising program because of its partnership with Integrated Resources Institute. IRI understands Pizza Hut's employment needs and is expert in managing the agencies that provide job applicants and support services. It would be much more difficult, and perhaps more costly, if

Pizza Hut

Key Lessons
continued

Pizza Hut had to identify a quality provider for each store that wanted to participate.

- ***Pizza Hut uses the tax credit that fits its business environment.*** Pizza Hut considers the Work Opportunity Tax Credit a major business resource but finds the welfare-to-work tax credit far less useful. The company's wage structure and relatively short-term employee retention fit the former rather then the latter. Also, the Work Opportunity tax credit applies to a range of target groups Pizza Hut employs, while the welfare-to-work Tax Credit only applies to welfare recipients.
- ***Cultural differences between the private and public sectors are factors in firm decisions.*** Pizza Hut perceives that its difficulty in working with welfare offices results from their orientation toward getting checks out to clients rather than getting clients to work and assisting them to integrate into jobs.

Contact Person

Tim Cate, Manager, Federal and State Hiring Incentives, (502) 874-2762

Salomon Smith Barney (SSB)

Business Area

A financial services firm with more than 35,000 U.S. employees and 1,300 in the U.K.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

For hiring welfare recipients, SSB has earned tax credits, reduced payroll ($8/hour for an intern's first four months versus $20/hour to pay a temp agency), and reduced hiring time. In addition, managers are more secure about hiring decisions because the internship lets them get to know candidates for employment better. Program participants who are hired into permanent positions have a retention rate of 90 percent, compared with an average retention rate of 82 percent for equivalent positions.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

SSB began its welfare-to-work efforts in 1995, when the firm had 550 openings. Barbara Silvan, Senior Vice President of Human Resources, had learned of Wildcat Service Corporation, a non-profit, community-based program that trains welfare recipients. SSB hired four Wildcat interns. Steadily increasing this number, SSB has now hired 75 former welfare recipients through Wildcat into permanent positions; 90 percent are still employed. Silvan is a strong champion of SSB's welfare-to-work efforts.

Services partners provide. Wildcat prepares welfare recipients for employment at SSB and other firms. It recruits and screens welfare recipients to identify those likely to succeed in financial services firms, then engages them in a 16-week training course in computer, communication, and work-readiness skills. Wildcat makes sure that welfare recipients who begin internships at SSB are in the habit of getting to work on time, and dress and behave professionally, and that they have worked out housing, child-care, transportation, and domestic-violence problems. The training simulates "real work"—participants must dress for work (not school), arrive on time, and attend class daily. Those who miss more than a few classes are dropped from the program. SSB interviews those who complete the training and selects some for four-month internships. If performance in the internship is satisfactory, SSB offers the candidates permanent positions.

Relationships with partners. SSB and Wildcat staff worked together to ensure that Wildcat understood SSB's hiring standards. Wildcat sought feedback from SSB on unsuccessful candidates, using it to improve the pre-employment program. Ultimately, Wildcat hired a staff person from the corporate sector who could better understand SSB's culture and expectations. Wildcat can now more readily identify welfare recipients who are likely to succeed at SSB. Wildcat now proposes about 30 candidates to SSB, and the firm hires 20 to 22 interns. During the last few cycles, SSB has hired 80 to 85 percent of the interns into permanent positions.

Wildcat's skills training is flexible and geared toward SSB's needs, and Silvan regularly informs Wildcat staff about business developments that might change skill needs. Wildcat incorporates these changes into the curriculum. It has even adapted some of SSB's internal training classes for program participants, who master the material before they arrive at SSB.

Silvan has found that hiring welfare recipients is no more burdensome than hiring any other employee. It requires her time at three points in each cycle. In the initial phase, she identifies where SSB needs interns, interviews and places the interns in their four-month positions, and provides the first-day orientation. Mid-way, she coordinates three workshops that SSB offers during the 16-week internship. In the final phase, she identifies and places interns in permanent positions.

Centralizing these functions in one office minimizes candidates' nervousness and simplifies the hiring process. Silvan knows what her managers are looking for, and they trust her judgment. "For SSB managers, SSB's partnership with Wildcat has become synonymous with quality employees," says Silvan.

Salomon Smith Barney (SSB)

Challenges and Promising Activities

The SSB environment is high-powered, and employees must be able to handle the culture—"to look and speak the part." SSB expects the same high standards of all employees, and believes that differentiating among types of employees risks stigmatizing some and angering others.

Challenges and Promising Activities *continued*

As part of the program, Wildcat counselors provide long-term support to former welfare recipients at SSB. A participant who has a problem or question can seek help from a counselor at any time. During the four-month internship, SSB offers three workshops on topics that help participants understand and function in the firm. For example, one workshop covers how to receive performance feedback. Supervisors' first appraisals are built into this workshop, helping the participants digest the feedback and use criticism constructively. An intern who is hired permanently can continue to work with the Wildcat counselor and also take advantage of SSB's Employee Assistance Program.

Advancement opportunities are the same for former welfare recipients as for other SSB staff, including a salary increase after one year of employment. Several former Wildcat program participants have received promotions, and about a dozen have taken advantage of tuition reimbursement. Human Resources staff are available to advise employees on advancement opportunities and strategies.

Future Trends

SSB believes strongly that it should only hire welfare recipients to meet a business need. However, the firm is so pleased with its involvement that it is expanding the Wildcat model to some subsidiaries, such as Commercial Credit. Wildcat is helping identify business needs, for example, identifying which jobs turn over most often. It is also helping SSB subsidiaries to design small start-up programs, to locate a local service provider to play Wildcat's role, and to identify funding sources.

Key Lessons

- ***An internal champion is key to SSB's efforts.*** Silvan takes overall responsibility for welfare-to-work efforts and communicates effectively internally and externally.
- ***Wildcat succeeds by considering the firm its customer.*** Wildcat has the commitment and capacity to understand the corporate culture, program goals, and hiring needs.
- ***Having the same standards of performance is important to SSB.*** Employee standards are high, whether or not an individual has been on welfare.
- ***Transitional opportunities benefit SSB and welfare recipients.*** Four-month internships provide an environment for SSB and the intern to succeed while reducing risk.
- ***SSB and Wildcat provide welfare recipients with long-term support and opportunities for advancement.*** Participants have opportunities for jobs with a future.

Contact Person

Barbara Silvan, Senior Vice President of Human Resources, (212) 816-2524

United Airlines

Business Area

One of the world's largest air-passenger carriers, and the largest majority-employee-owned corporation, with over 92,000 employees, including about 54,000 in entry-level jobs

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

The primary benefit of welfare-to-work is retention. The firm has retained 69 percent of its welfare-to-work employees. According to Gerald Greenwald, United Airlines Chairman and CEO, "United employees hired from public assistance have one-half the attrition rate of similarly placed employees not from public assistance." Reducing turnover reduces recruitment, hiring, and training costs.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

As of April 1998, 550 United employees were former welfare recipients. They work in customer service, ramp service, reservation sales, cabin service, flight attendant, service, and administrative support. Ninety percent work full-time; part-time employees can start working full-time at the end of their first year. Employees receive a full benefits package, including health insurance, dental insurance, and a retirement plan. Full-time employment and full benefits support retention at United.

United has developed a mentoring program to support retention of welfare recipients. The program was designed by a cross-functional team that included union members, international employees, senior-level executives, and welfare recipients. The team designed a peer-mentor model that wouldn't interfere with employee-supervisor relationships. "Locational champions" oversee the program and assist both mentors and employees. Mentors are volunteers and are expected to perform that role on their own time.

Flexibility is a key principle of the mentorship program. The peer approach is most common, although mentors range from co-workers to senior vice presidents. Welfare recipients are assigned a mentor for their first 60 days of employment. However, Georgina Heard, manager of executive development and succession and architect of United's welfare-to-work program, says that United hopes that relationships will continue after the 60-day period ended, and that has often been the case.

The mentorship program was so successful in increasing retention that United adopted it for all new hires in 1998 to improve long-standing turnover problems. "Not only did we improve retention but a survey of mentors showed that they actually felt better about themselves and the company," says Heard.

Roles of corporate and local staff. In 1996, Greenwald pledged that United would hire 2,000 welfare recipients by the year 2000. As Scott Gilday, Director of People Services for United, says, "The key to running a program like this is to have complete support right from the top. If it is understood from the top down how critical the program is, then it can be turned over to the employment department and the rest of the company to implement."

Corporate staff support local efforts to achieve the overall goal and facilitate a Welfare-to-Work Task Team that local staff participate in. The team assembles planning materials, provides new ideas, engages in continuous improvement efforts, and supports local staff efforts. Each local employment office has a welfare-to-work champion who works internally to promote the effort and develops partnerships with community-based service providers.

Relationship with partners. United has developed partnerships in many of the communities in which it operates. United recruiters work closely with social service and other non-profit agencies in about 20 U.S. communities.

United's local staff work closely with community-based organizations so they fully understand United's requirements for employment. United encourages its partners to shadow airline employees on the job to become familiar with the positions and skills necessary for success. Local staff provide input into the design of the training program, customizing it to meet United's needs and often providing the

United Airlines

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement *continued*

software United uses so program participants can learn it. Some local offices set standards for community-based programs, evaluate their success in meeting those standards, and participate in continuous improvement processes.

Services partners provide. Partner organizations improve United's access to an expanded labor pool and provide pre-employment interviewing to identify potential candidates for employment. In addition, partners provide valuable pre-employment training on soft skills and training that is customized to meet the requirements for the positions United seeks to fill. United counts on its partners to maintain an up-to-date understanding of its needs and continuously improve their recruitment and training in response to changes in the work environment at United.

Retention and advancement. From the time they begin working for United, employees receive on-the-job and/or off-site training. United encourages employees to take the initiative to identify the training they want. Supervisors assist employees to plan their training and identify the skills that provide opportunities for advancement. As positions open, entry-level employees with sufficient training can bid on them.

Increasing welfare-to-work capacity. United Airlines is a founding member of the Welfare to Work Partnership, which Greenwald chairs. The Executive Director of the Chicagoland Business Partners is a loaned United executive.

Challenges and Promising Practices

As with many employers, United Airlines has had deep concerns about entry-level employee turnover. By expanding its mentoring program to involve all entry-level employees, it has increased retention rates.

Located at airports, United jobs are far from population centers and can be difficult to reach. United is exploring a variety of approaches to overcoming transportation limitations, including working with public transit agencies to establish new bus routes and providing flexible scheduling to take advantage of existing public transportation.

Key Lessons

- ***By expanding its mentoring program, United Airlines is improving entry-level retention rates.*** Developed originally for welfare recipients, the program has been expanded to include all new entry-level employees.
- ***United has attempted to develop a welfare-to-work approach that other firms can follow, including smaller firms.*** Low cost, low staff-time requirements, and simplicity are the design principles for United's welfare-to-work program. For instance, mentors undertake their activities on their own time, rather than receiving pay for mentorship activities.

Contact Person

Emily Derr, Employment Representative,
(847) 700-6755

United Parcel Service (UPS)

Business Area

The world's largest package-delivery company, with 340,000 employees, including about 290,000 in the United States and 3,000 in the U.K.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

CEO John Alden reports a 70 percent retention rate for welfare-to-work program participants, about 10 percent higher than for all new entry-level employees. UPS is very satisfied with employees hired through these programs. Welfare-to-work innovations have also reduced hiring and training costs, as well as the time it takes to hire. The firm also receives federal Work Opportunity Tax Credits for hiring welfare recipients.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Between January 1997 and November 1998, UPS hired 21,774 welfare recipients. It typically employed these new hires in part-time jobs as package handlers and paid $8.50 to $9.50 per hour, with full benefits.

A decentralized organizational structure drives UPS's approach to welfare-to-work efforts. "There is no one-size-fits-all model for a successful welfare-to-work program," according to Lea Soupata, Senior Vice President for Human Resources.

UPS involves corporate staff directly in efforts to strengthen welfare-to-work as a national initiative, as well as involving local staff in specific programs. UPS is very active in the Welfare to Work Partnership, "loaning" an executive to the effort. Company staff also participate in governing the Illinois welfare-to-work initiative.

UPS corporate staff document successful and creative practices and disseminate that information to local facilities. Rather than set goals for employment of welfare recipients by local offices, corporate staff expect local managers to use welfare-to-work as one tool among many to achieve full and effective staffing.

At the local level, UPS stresses the power and necessity of collaboration with community partners, a policy UPS has pursued for over 25 years. "As a responsible corporate citizen, UPS' goal is to have a workforce that reflects the diversity of the communities we serve," says Ken Parks, Vice President of Corporate Human Resources. "We have a continuing need to fill UPS jobs with dedicated people, and our approach has always been to partner with education, government, and non-profit organizations to locate, employ, and retain them."

Relationships with partners. UPS looks for efficient, non-bureaucratic partners that will adapt services to its needs. In Chicago, for example, UPS partners with the Grand Boulevard Federation, a community-based organization that provides welfare recipients with job-readiness training, coaching, and support services. In Atlanta, UPS works closely with Goodwill Industries, an organization that helps individuals who have disadvantages and disabilities secure employment.

UPS partners provide services that improve hiring and retention. These include screening of potential applicants and trainees, training in pre-employment life-skills and work readiness, coaching for both former welfare recipients and UPS supervisors on problem-solving, case management services, and access to further education and training.

UPS invests in its community-based training and brokering partners. The firm shares information about job openings and requisite skills. In communities where UPS is most engaged, it provides input into recruitment or training-program design, helps set outcome standards, evaluates partners according to those standards, participates in continuous improvement processes, and leads efforts to develop new programs. The firm frequently opens its facilities to job-seekers so they can experience UPS as a workplace before applying for a job.

Support of retention and advancement. UPS's investments in entry-level employees promote retention. The firm provides all new employees with a carefully designed orientation to employment, 22 days of on-the-job training, and mentoring by supervisors.

United Parcel Service (UPS)

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement ***continued***

The UPS culture values promotion from within. The average employee who stays with the firm for fifteen years will hold six positions in that time. This priority, combined with continual investment in new technology and work processes, leads UPS to offer skill development opportunities to its front-line workers, especially continual upgrading of technology-related competencies. Ongoing skill development is linked to pay increases and promotions.

In an expansion of its extensive internal training, UPS is piloting courses at community colleges and holding college classes at its Philadelphia facility. UPS is also partnering with Metropolitan College (a consortium of three community colleges) to offer degree-granting programs at night, accommodating the time-critical nature of UPS business. Employees in the night-sort operation can attend "UPS University," with the firm reimbursing them for 50 percent of the tuition. UPS has also provided $1.5 million to upgrade classroom technology.

Making part-time work pay. In Chicago and Dallas/Fort Worth, UPS has worked with other firms to develop Employee Share, an innovative job-sharing program that is available to all part-time UPS employees at those locations. To make it easier for people to work at two part-time jobs, UPS works with smaller businesses to match work at each based on coordinated start and finish times. The program not only provides employees with more income, it also expands the breadth of work experience and skill development. UPS benefits through increased retention and loyalty. Smaller firms benefit because UPS has a much better capacity to screen of new hires than many small firms can afford. The Small Business Association and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce are interested in the job-sharing program.

Transportation assistance. When seeking new workers for a late-night shift at its facility near Philadelphia's international airport, UPS received help from welfare officials in Camden, New Jersey, ten miles away. Camden welfare recipients proved to be a good source of employees, eventually providing UPS with 700 workers whose retention rate was an unusually high 88 percent. Because public transportation between Camden and the facility was limited, UPS began operating buses for its new employees. Eventually, the local public bus system took the route over. Although UPS promised to subsidize the route, the 53 daily trips proved profitable. UPS has also developed a plan to help rural employees get to its Louisville facility, improving attendance and retention.

Challenges and Promising Practices

UPS entry-level jobs are characterized by particularly high turnover. In general, UPS hires welfare recipients into part-time jobs between 5:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m., sorting and loading packages—jobs that require considerable effort and flexibility. Transportation to the facilities is limited, making the firm receptive to strategies for lowering turnover.

Effective partnerships between UPS and service-delivery organizations require significant staff time and commitment. In some situations, UPS is asked to take on too much responsibility, because it is seen as a firm with deep pockets.

UPS believes that effective programs begin with the needs of participating employers. Yet too often, businesses aren't at the table when decisions about government-funded efforts are made. Moreover, government agencies often can't respond effectively to employers. In its role as policy advocate, UPS is encouraging greater flexibility in federal and state policies regarding work requirements and education and training for welfare recipients. The firm wants more part-time, well-paid jobs that have full medical benefits to count as work placements; it also notes a need for flexibility related to the time it takes some welfare recipients to overcome barriers to employment and work-readiness.

United Parcel Service (UPS)

Future Trends

In the coming year, UPS expects to expand its welfare-to-work efforts and increase the number of welfare recipients it hires. Even if unemployment rises and reduces demand for new employees, UPS's strong relationships with its partners and their effectiveness as a source for entry-level employees ensure that welfare-to-work hiring will expand.

Key Lessons

- ***Partnerships require two-way commitment.*** UPS recognizes that if it wants quality services from community-based partners, it must inform, orient, and work closely with them over the long run.
- ***Promotion from within develops employees.*** UPS strongly encourages promotion from within its workforce to increase retention and career advancement.
- ***Intermediaries are crucial to significant expanding welfare-to-work.*** UPS believes that to reach significant scale, welfare-to-work efforts must involve many employers and service providers. These relationships need to be encouraged, strengthened, and linked by local partnerships and organizations.
- ***Transportation barriers can be overcome through creative collaborations.*** For large firms, creating new public transport routes to the workplace can open up new sources of qualified employees.
- ***Large firms can help smaller businesses employ welfare recipients.*** The Employee Share program reduces the risk and cost to small businesses of hiring welfare recipients. This helps UPS, its small firm partners, and program recipients who need more than one part-time position to make ends meet.

Contact Person

Kerry Ann McCallum, Corporate Community Relations Manager, (404) 828-4851

Xerox Business Services (XBS)

Business Area

The fastest growing division of Xerox Corporation and the worldwide leader in document outsourcing, from copying and mail distribution to document creation and LAN management, with 12,000 employees.

Benefits of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

A member of the Welfare to Work Partnership, Xerox Business Services employs welfare recipients as an extension of corporate social responsibility and a good business practice.

Welfare-to-work efforts have reduced hiring costs and the time involved in hiring entry-level employees. In tight labor markets, XBS has increased the quality of entry-level hires by screening and training candidates. Further, welfare-to-work retention rates of 75 to 80 percent have helped XBS maintain its industry-leading retention rate for entry-level employees, which is significantly above the 60 to 70 percent typical in its industry. Employees hired through welfare-to-work programs are also significantly more loyal to XBS than are other entry-level employees, and they are more flexible due to their pre-employment training. The efforts are also a motivating factor to employees throughout XBS, increasing their pride in the organization.

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement

Xerox Business Services employs 12,000 people in the United States, including 10,000 Account Associates stationed on-site at other firms that outsource business to XBS. Since September 1997, when XBS piloted its welfare-to-work efforts, the firm has expanded the program to all 50 of its U.S. locations and hired 188 welfare recipients. Most are employed as Account Associates at $7 to $8 per hour. XBS Manager of Staffing and Strategy Joe Hammill, who oversees the program, says, "We have designed our entry-level jobs to provide opportunities to learn other jobs and move to self-sufficiency. It's more than starting people at $7 an hour. It's about meaningful, challenging work that gives people fulfillment and the opportunity for advancement."

The XBS corporate culture promotes empowerment of staff, and welfare-to-work efforts are designed to do so as well. At the corporate level, Hammill did background research, designed a framework for involvement in welfare-to-work, and circulated the framework to all local offices. The XBS approach is to create partnerships with local welfare-to-work service providers that are committed to quality, responsive to XBS as a customer, and make the relationship as simple as possible for XBS. In addition to a strong customer-service orientation, XBS seeks partners that can deliver the whole package of services XBS needs, either directly or through a network of affiliated providers. Hammill has developed a six-page assessment tool that local XBS operations can use to choose assessment, training, and support services for partners.

At the corporate level, XBS sets reasonable and measurable goals for local operations, such as employing six to eight welfare recipients per year and retaining a high proportion of them. Although there is no specific goal for advancement, local operations report on it. XBS is committed to assuring that its welfare-to-work operation is lean. Hammill is the only corporate staffer, and he has other responsibilities as well.

Using the XBS welfare-to-work framework, local managers create relationships with a variety of partners. The flexibility XBS provides to local managers allows them to customize partnerships as needed and take best advantage of state and local welfare-to-work services infrastructures, which vary considerably.

The most important service that partner organizations provide is pre-employment training on work attitudes, communication, and expectations and effective assistance in overcoming barriers, such as child-care and transportation needs. Partners also provide training in job specific skills. Further, some partners provide valuable post-employment services, especially case management services that assist people in coming to work and performing consistently by overcoming non-work problems, including dealing with the welfare bureaucracy.

Xerox Business Services (XBS)

Highlights of Welfare-to-Work Involvement *continued*

XBS provides partners with information about job openings and needed skills, as well as input on policy and program design. XBS staff participate in program activities. Work-site tours provided by XBS help match program participants with jobs. XBS also sets standards for program quality and outcomes, evaluates programs by those standards, and informally advises on improvements.

All entry-level employees at XBS receive significant retention and advancement supports. The parent company, Xerox Corporation, is one of *Working Mother* magazine's top 100 firms. Xerox provides health insurance for employees who work over 20 hours per week. Other benefits include a dependent-care fund, significant child-care resources, and flexible work schedules. Managers' pay is tied to promoting wo.../life balance.

XBS tries to be a learning organization. All Account Associates participate in a competency-based development system that includes self-assessment of the skills they need to advance to future assignments of their choice. XBS supports employee learning through classes, on-the-job training, computer-based training, and tuition assistance. As a result of welfare-to-work partnerships with community colleges, XBS has begun to explore relationships with them to support skill development.

Future Trends

XBS expects to employ between 200 and 250 former welfare recipients by the end of 1998. XBS will probably increase tha. number in 1999, but it is most interested in retention and advancement of welfare recipients already hired.

XBS is working closely with Women in Community Service to develop the Friends at Work program and related training materials that assist the efforts of co-workers to suppo the transition of new entry-level employees employment. While Friends at Work will su port welfare-to-work efforts, XBS encourage local operations to offer the program to all entry-level employees.

Key Lessons

- ***Total quality principles ground welfare-t work at XBS.*** The partner organizations f on meeting XBS's needs is the most signif indicator of success because it provides th basis on which the partnership can develo
- ***Corporate tools can simplify local manag efforts.*** The XBS framework and assessme tool helps local managers choose partner organizations.
- ***Fitting welfare-to-work efforts to its corp rate culture is important to XBS.*** An emp ered, decentralized approach fits XBS; a m top-down approach would be very difficu implement. Corporate staff are able to ma the effort overall by having local manager report on hiring, retention, and advancem
- ***Flexibility in developing local welfare-to- work partnerships helps XBS.*** This approa responds to differences in the strengths a weaknesses of local service providers.
- ***Welfare-to-work efforts have helped impr XBS's human resources practices generall*** The network of relationships developed through welfare-to-work efforts can be le aged for other human resources efforts. Friends at Work and XBS's explorations o expanding its relationship with communit colleges are two examples.

Contact Person

Joe Hammill, Manager of Staffing and Strat
(716) 264-5372